arXiv:1511.00769v1 [hep-th] 3 Nov 2015

A nonlinear dynamics for the scalar field in Randers spacetime

J. E. G. Silva,[1,*] R. V. Maluf,[2,†] and C. A. S. Almeida[2,‡]

[1] *Indiana University Center for Spacetime Symmetries (IUCSS), Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA*

[2] *Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC), Departamento de Física, Campus do Pici, Fortaleza - CE, C.P. 6030, 60455-760, Brazil*

(Dated: November 4, 2015)

Abstract

In this work, we investigate the properties of a real scalar field in the Finslerian Randers spacetime. The Randers spacetime is an anisotropic spacetime whose local Lorentz violation is driven by a background vector which changes the measurements of the intervals. We propose a dynamics for the scalar field in general Finsler spacetimes described by a minimal coupling of the scalar field and the Finsler metric. The coupling is intrinsically defined on the spacetime, and it yields a non-canonical kinetic term for the scalar field. In Randers spacetime, the nonlinear dynamics can be split into a linear and nonlinear regimes, which depend perturbatively on the even and odd powers of the Lorentz-violating parameter, respectively. For a timelike Randers background vector, the quadratic field Hamiltonian and the nonquadratic Hamiltonian up to second derivatives are positive, as well as the particle Hamiltonian. We analyse the plane waves solutions and the modified dispersion relations. In the linear regime, the modified quadratic dispersion relation is free of tachyons. In the nonlinear regime, the quartic dispersion relation obtained up to first-order in the Lorentz-violating parameter yields to ultraviolet superluminal signal which can be avoided by considering the perturbed equation of motion up to second-order.

Keywords: Local Lorentz violation, Finsler spacetime, Randers spacetime, Scalar field.

*Electronic address: jgsilva@indiana.edu

†Electronic address: r.v.maluf@fisica.ufc.br

‡Electronic address: carlos@fisica.ufc.br

I. INTRODUCTION

Despite the current lack of a complete theory of quantum gravity, several candidate theories assume that some symmetries present at low energy regimes might no longer be valid at Planck scale. For instance, the string theory [1], spacetime noncommutativity [2], Horava-Lifshitz gravity [3] and loop quantum gravity (LQG) [4] admit the possibility of absence of Lorentz symmetry for the spacetime. The violation of Lorentz symmetry may be the result of a spontaneous breaking of tensor fields which acquire nonvanishing vacuum expectation values [5] or the condensation of a ghost (scalar) field yielding to modification of the dispersion relations [6].

In flat spacetimes at the Lorentz-violating phase, the coupling between the background tensors and the Standard Model (SM) field provides explicit Lorentz violating term in the action wherewith the properties of the particles and anti-particles can be modified [7]. A theoretical framework suitable to comprise and test a wide variety of explicit Lorentz violating arising from any underlying quantum gravity theory is the so-called Standard Model Extension (SME) [8]. The SME contains Lorentz-violating effects on photons [9], electrons [10], neutrinos [11], muons [12], neutral mesons [13], Hydrogen atom [14], etc. For a comprehensive review of the test on Lorentz and CPT violation, we indicate the Ref.[15]

In order to include Lorentz violating effects in the gravitational interaction and still satisfy the local conservation of the stress-energy tensor (Bianchi identities), the Lorentz symmetry ought to be spontaneously broken [16]. The spontaneous Lorentz violation can be driven by a dynamical vector field called Bumblebee which couples with the metric [17, 18] or through a symmetric tensor field, called Cardinal field, which gives rise to the metric tensor after a spontaneous breaking process [19]. The effects of the spontaneous Lorentz symmetry breaking upon gravity have been studied in a broad range of post-Newtonian tests [21–24].

An alternative approach to include local Lorentz violating effects into gravity was proposed by Kostelecký through a change in the structure of the spacetime for the so-called Finsler geometry [16]. In fact, the classical Lagrangian and modified dispersion relations of a point particle limit of fermions governed by the SME field Lagrangian can be described in terms of an extension of the Riemann geometry called Finsler geometry [25–34].

The Finsler geometry is based on the assumption that the norm of vectors is evaluated by a general function of the point and the vector, called Finsler function, rather than

the quadratic Riemannian norm [36–40]. The absence of this quadratic condition on the distances turns Finsler geometry directional dependent, i.e., an anisotropic geometry. Indeed, all the geometrical quantities as the metric, connection, curvature are directionally dependent. Physical applications of Finsler geometry can be found in anisotropic media as in optics [42], acoustic [43] and seismic [44] waves, hydrodynamics and wind [45], surface materials [46], graphene [47], analogous models [48] and in the $(3 + 1)$ decomposition of general relativity for stationary spacetimes [49]. For a comprehensive review of applications of Finsler geometry in physics, see Ref.[50].

A Finslerian spacetime was proposed by Randers wherein the intervals in spacetime are measured with the usual Lorentzian metric and a background geometrical vector field [51]. The background vector field provides a local violation of the Lorentz symmetry by defining a preferred direction at each point of spacetime [51]. The Finsler function, i.e., the interval in the Randers spacetime has the usual quadratic Lorentzian and one linear term proportional to the background Randers vector [51]. For a point particle, the Randers geodesic equation of motion is analogous to a charged particle in a background electromagnetic field [51]. Thus, the Randers spacetime was considered as a unified gravity-electromagnetic theory, as the Weyl and Kaluza-Klein theories [51]. In the context of the Standard Model Extension (SME), the Randers spacetime arises as an effective description of a classical particle from a spin 1/2 fermion field with CPT-odd Lorentz violating term [26, 27].

The notion of a Finsler spacetime as describing an anisotropic spacetime due to quantum gravity reminiscent effects arose in the study of modified dispersion relations [52], and by addressing the question of how to define a field theory on Finsler spacetimes [53–56]. In the Asanov approach, since the geometry depends on the position and direction, the fields should also depend on the position and direction coordinates. Thus, all the fields and geometry quantities live not in the spacetime itself but in the tangent bundle, i.e., the set of all the point and directions [54], a sort of Lagrangian geometric configuration space [57]. As a result, the equation of motion has position and direction derivatives. In order to keep the physical degrees of freedom, Asanov proposed a constraint whereby the directions are functions of the position, called osculating method [54, 55]. Stravinos and collaborators applied the osculating method in general relativity and cosmology and provided a source of the modification to de Sitter expansion [58].

In order to define the causal structure (timelike, spacelike and lightlike intervals) it is

necessary to move from the Riemann-Finsler geometry to a pseudo-Finsler geometry. Kostelecký proposed a Wick rotation to leave the Finsler metric positively definite [26]. Beem extended the Lorentzian spacetimes [59, 60] by introducing a pseudo-Finsler function (norm) which is related to the Finsler function by an usual absolute value [61]. From the pseudo-norm is possible to define a pseudo-metric and then, the intervals and observers [61, 62]. The Beem approach has been used in modifications of the dispersion relation [63, 64] and also for several gravitational effects [67–71]. The presence of the absolute value in the relation between the Finsler and the pseudo-Finsler function provides some issues to the null surface [61, 70, 71]. Pfeifer and Wolfarth proposed an extension of the Beem approach by relating the Finsler and pseudo-Finsler functions by a power-law [72]. They also proposed a field theory based on the projective tangent bundle [72, 73]. Vacaru analysed the extra degrees of freedom for fields defined on the tangent bundle as similar to the Kaluza-Klein modes in extra-dimensional physics [74]. The direction coordinates play an analogous role to the extra dimensions, and the additional degree of freedom are suppressed by the Planck mass [74].

In this work we conceive the Randers spacetime as an effective description of the structure of the spacetime for energy scales up to the Planck scale M_P. We assume that the anisotropy encoded within the Randers vector is generated by reminiscent effects of an underlying quantum gravity dynamics. This perspective of the Randers spacetime has been studied in astrophysics and cosmology by Chang *et al* [75–78].

We propose a dynamics for the scalar field intrinsically defined on the Randers spacetime, as done by Bogoslovsky in the Bogoslovsky-Finsler spacetime [79, 80]. Yet, unlike the on-shell definition of the equation of motion performed by Bogoslovsky, we propose an action for the scalar field defined by a minimal coupling between the scalar field and the dual Finsler metric. We also assume that the volume of the spacetime is modified by the Randers anisotropy. Since the Finsler metric is direction dependent, there is no canonical volume form. We adopt the Busemann-Hausdorff volume definition which can be evaluated directly in the Randers spacetime without the use of the tangent bundle. This action for the scalar field is an extension of the Shen energy functional for Finsler spacetimes [81, 82].

The resulting action has a modified non-canonical kinetic term which is not quadratic, as in the *k-essence* models. The mass term is also modified by the anisotropic volume what yields to an effective position-dependent mass, as for the point particle [79]. In Randers

spacetime, the kinetic term can be split out into a bilinear term, which depends perturbatively on the even powers of the Lorentz-violating parameter, and a nonquadratic kinetic term depending on the odd powers of the parameter. In a flat spacetime with constant Randers vector, the bilinear Lagrangian resemblances the SME Lagrangian whereas the nonquadratic Lagrangian can be regarded as a non-canonical extension of the SME Lagrangian.

The Finslerian Klein-Gordon is nonlinear, and the Finsler D'Alembertian operator is an extension for Finsler spacetimes of the Shen Laplacian [81, 82]. In the eikonal regime, the field momentum satisfies the same Finslerian particle modified dispersion relation. Assuming the flat Finsler spacetime has a timelike Killing vector, we found a condition for the field Hamiltonian to be positive definite. For the Randers spacetime with a timelike background Randers vector, the instabilities appear only for high momenta states. The Finslerian Klein-Gordon equation can be separated into a linear and nonlinear equation. The linear equation has a modified dispersion relation similar to those found in SME and free of causal and stabilities issues. The nonlinear regime has higher-order derivatives and nonlocal terms which yield to a quartic dispersion relation. The perturbed nonlinear equation until first-order in the Lorentz-violating parameter exhibits tachyonic states. Nonetheless, these instabilities can be avoided by considering the second-order perturbed nonlinear equation, which takes into account the leading terms of both the bilinear and the nonquadratic Lagrangians terms.

This work is organized as follows. In section II we introduce the definitions of Randers and Finsler spacetimes, reviewing and exploring the point particle dynamics defined by a minimal coupling of the particle with the Finsler metric, as the generalized mass-shell and the Hamiltonian. In section III we define the scalar field action and analyse its features and the corresponding Hamiltonian. Moreover, we obtain and study the properties of the nonlinear Finslerian Klein-Gordon equation. In section IV we study the modified dispersion relation and Green function for the linear regime whereas the plane-wave dispersion relation for the nonlinear regime is addressed in section V. Our final remarks, conclusions and perspectives are presented in section VI.

II. RANDERS SPACETIME

The Randers spacetime is a local Lorentz violating spacetime whose anisotropy is driven by a background vector field $a \in TM$ which change the length of intervals of the spacetime [38, 39, 51]. In fact, given a tangent vector $\dot{x} = \frac{dx}{d\lambda}$ to a curve in the Randers spacetime, the length of this curve is defined by [38, 39, 51]

$$s = \int_I F_R(x, \dot{x}) d\lambda, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_R(x, \dot{x}) &:= \alpha(x, \dot{x}) + \beta(x, \dot{x}) \\ &= \sqrt{|g_{\mu\nu}(x)\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu|} + \zeta a_\mu(x)\dot{x}^\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $0 \leq \zeta < 1$ and $\lambda \in I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter. The infinitesimal length of the intervals in Randers spactime (2) has two terms. The first term in Eq.(2), $\alpha(x, \dot{x}) := \sqrt{|g_{\mu\nu}(x)\dot{x}^\mu \dot{x}^\nu|}$, is the usual Lorentz-invariant norm of the tangent vector $\dot{x}$ which is quadratic in the components of the $\dot{x}$ [59, 60]. The second term, $\beta(x, \dot{x}) := \zeta a_\mu(x)\dot{x}^\mu$, is constructed from the background Randers covector $a = a_\mu(x)dx^\mu$ and then is linear in the components of $\dot{x}$. The norm of a is evaluated with the Lorentzian metric g, $a^2(x) := g_{\mu\nu}(x)a^\mu a^\nu$, thereby the Randers vector can have positive, negative or null Lorentzian norm. In this work we adopt the mostly plus metric convention $(-,+,+,+)$ [59, 60]. We often refer to the Randers background vector, $\mathbf{a} := g^{\mu\nu}(x)a_\nu\partial_\mu$ [38].

The length of the intervals in Randers spacetime is measured with two geometrical tensors, the background Lorentzian metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ and the Randers 1-form $a_\mu(x)$, which renders the local Lorentz-violation universal for all observers. The small value of the parameter ζ expresses the perturbative character of the Lorentz violation. We assume that ζ is a constant with dimension of length L which is $\zeta > l_P$. As a consequence, the Randers 1-form a has mass dimension $[a] = M$, for the Finsler norm is dimensionless.

Randers spacetime is an example of Finsler geometry where the norm of the tangent vector $y \in T_xM$ is given by a smooth function $F : TM/0 \to [0, \infty)$, i.e., $||y||_x = F(x, y)$ [38, 39]. Amongst other examples of Finsler spacetimes we cite the $b-$space, which arises in the context of the SME for a chiral CPT-odd Lorentz-violating term [26], and the Bogoslovsky spacetime [79, 80]. For the length of the interval in Eq.(1) be parametrization invariant,

the Finsler norm $F(x,y)$ ought to satisfy the condition called *positive homogeneity* where $F(x,\lambda y)=\lambda F(x,y), \lambda>0$ [38]. The Randers form $\beta(x,y)$ alone changes of sign $\beta(x,-y)=-\beta(x,y)$, as a consequence of the local anisotropy. For a flat Randers spacetime, i.e., for a flat Lorentzian metric $g_{\mu\nu}=\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and a_μ constants, the condition $\beta(x,-\dot{x})=-\beta(x,\dot{x})$ can be interpreted as a CPT-odd behaviour since $x\to -x \Rightarrow \dot{x}\to -\dot{x}$.

In order to define timelike, spacelike and lightlike vectors, we follow the approach proposed by Beem which consists in define a pseudo-norm $L: TM/0\to\mathbb{R}$, where $F(x,y)=\sqrt{|L(x,y)|}$ [61]. From the pseudo-norm we can define the Finsler pseudo-metric $(g^F_{\mu\nu})$ by [61–72]

$$g^F_{\mu\nu}(x,y)=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 L(x,y)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}. \tag{3}$$

Since the pseudo-metric $(g^F_{\mu\nu})$ satisfies $g^F_{\mu\nu}(x,y)y^\mu y^\nu = L(x,y)$, then, we can define timelike vector ($L(x,y)<0$), nulllike ($L(x,y)=0$) and spacelike vector ($L(x,y)>0$). The Finslerian arc length (1) can be rewritten as $s=\int_I \sqrt{|g^F_{\mu\nu}(x,\dot{x})\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu|}d\lambda$. In Randers spacetime, the Finsler metric is given by $g^F_{\mu\nu}(x,y)=\mp[\frac{F}{\alpha}g_{\mu\nu}-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\tilde{l}_\mu\tilde{l}_\nu+\zeta(\tilde{l}_{(\mu}a_{\nu)})+\zeta^2 a_\mu a_\nu]$, where $\tilde{l}_\mu:=\frac{g_{\mu\nu}y^\nu}{\alpha}$ and the signs $-,+$ stand for timelike and spacelike vectors, respectively.

Since the relation between the Finsler norm F and the pseudo-norm L is given by an absolute value, some continuity issues can appear around the lightcone [61–72]. In this work we are concerned with the physical properties of a propagating massive field, and then, we restrict our analysis for Finslerian timelike vectors only.

A. Particle dynamics

As in the Lorentzian spacetimes, we define the action for a massive particle in Finsler spacetimes as [51, 63]

$$S^F=-m\int_I F(x,\dot{x})d\lambda \tag{4}$$

where, $\dot{x}$ is the particle 4-velocity. Then, the Lagrangian for a massive free particle in Randers spacetime is given by

$$L^R(x,\dot{x})=-m\left(\sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu}(x)\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu}+\zeta a_\mu(x)\dot{x}^\mu\right) \tag{5}$$

The Randers Lagrangian (5) can be rewritten as $L^R=-m\sqrt{-g^F_{\mu\nu}(x,\dot{x})\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu}$ which is a coupling between a massive particle and the Finsler metric. From this Lagrangian, we can

define a canonically conjugate momentum 1-form, $\mathbf{P}^F = P^F_\mu dx^\mu$ whose components are defined by

$$P^R_\mu := \frac{\partial L^R}{\partial \dot{x}^\mu} = P_\mu - m\zeta a_\mu, \tag{6}$$

where $P_\mu := m g_{\mu\nu}(x) U^\nu$ is the Lorentzian conjugate momentum and $U^\mu := \frac{\dot{x}^\mu}{\alpha(\dot{x})}$ is the Lorentzian unitary 4-velocity. Since the modification in the momentum is proportional to $m\zeta$ it can be only probe for high-energy particles.

The Finsler metric $g^F(x,y)$ provides a nonlinear duality between the canonical momentum 1-form $\mathbf{P}$ and the canonical momentum vector P^F. Indeed, the components of the momentum vector P^F are related to to the canonical momentum 1-form $\mathbf{P}$ by $\mathbf{P}^F_\mu = g^F_{\mu\nu}(x, P^F) P^{F\nu}$ [63]. Using the Finslerian nonlinear duality the contravariant components of the momentum are given by [63]

$$P^{F\mu} := m \frac{\dot{x}^\mu}{F(x,\dot{x})} \tag{7}$$

Since the relation between the covariant P^F_μ and contravariant components $P^{F\mu}$ is not linear, the expression for the components are rather different, as seen in (6) and (7). The Lorentzian dual of the canonical momentum $P^{L\mu} := g^{\mu\nu}(x) P^R_\nu = P^\mu + m\zeta a^\mu$ is not a tangent vector to the worldline, whereas the Randers canonical momentum 4-vector is always parallel to the 4-velocity $\dot{x}$. Since $P^\mu := mU^\mu$ is the Lorentzian momentum, the Randers canonical momentum vector can be written as $P^{F\mu} = \frac{\alpha(x,P)}{F(x,P)} P^\mu = \left(1 - \zeta \frac{(a\cdot P)}{||P||} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^2)\right) P^\mu$. Defining $M := \frac{m}{F(x,\dot{x})}$, the canonical momentum vector can be rewritten as $P^F = M(x,\dot{x})\dot{x}$. Therefore, the local Lorentz symmetry breaking driven by the vector $\mathbf{a}$ can be interpreted as providing an anisotropic inertia to the massive particles [79].

Extremizing the particle action (4), we obtain the equation of motion [38]

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}\left(\frac{m\dot{x}^\mu}{F(x,\dot{x})}\right) + \gamma^{F\mu}_{\nu\rho}(x,\dot{x})\dot{x}^\nu \dot{x}^\rho = 0, \tag{8}$$

where $\gamma^{F\mu}_{\nu\rho}(x,\dot{x}) := \frac{g^{F\mu\sigma}(x,\dot{x})}{2}\Big[\partial_\nu g^F_{\sigma\rho}(x,\dot{x}) + \partial_\rho g^F_{\sigma\nu}(x,\dot{x}) - \partial_\sigma g^F_{\nu\rho}(x,\dot{x})\Big]$ are the Finslerian Christoffel symbols and $\partial_\mu := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$ [38]. The equation of motion (8) is an extension of the usual Lorentzian geodesic equation that includes local Lorentz violating interactions. Indeed, choosing a Finslerian proper-time parametrization ($\lambda = \tau$), where $F(x,\dot{x}) = 1$, the equation of motion (8) yields to

$$m\ddot{x}^\mu = -m\gamma^\mu_{\nu\sigma}\dot{x}^\nu\dot{x}^\sigma - m\zeta\alpha(x,\dot{x})g^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\nu\sigma}\dot{x}^\sigma - m\zeta\left(a_{\nu|\sigma}\dot{x}^\nu\dot{x}^\sigma + \tilde{F}_{\sigma\nu}a^\nu\right)\frac{\dot{x}^\mu}{\alpha(x,\dot{x})}, \tag{9}$$

where $\tilde{F}_{\nu\sigma} := a_{\nu|\sigma} - a_{\sigma|\nu}$ [38]. The Randers field $\mathbf{a}$ provides a term similar to the Lorentz electromagnetic force [51] and another anisotropic term which vanishes for a Lorentzian parametrization of the proper time, $\alpha(x, \dot{x}) = 1$.

Introducing a suitable connection we can show that the Finslerian momentum P^F in Eq.(7) is covariantly constant. Indeed, defining the Cartan tensor $C_{\mu\nu\sigma} := \frac{F(x,y)}{2}\frac{\partial g^F_{\mu\nu}(x,y)}{\partial y^\sigma}$ [38], we can define the so-called Horizontal derivative $\delta_\mu := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - N^\nu_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\nu}$ and the vertical derivative $\bar{\partial}_\mu := F(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu}$, where $N^\mu_\nu := \gamma^{F\mu}_{\nu\rho}(x,\dot{x})y^\rho - \frac{2}{F}C^\mu_{\nu\sigma}\gamma^{F\sigma}_{\rho\xi}(x,\dot{x})y^\sigma y^\xi$ [38]. Using these Finslerian objects we can define the Cartan connection $\omega^{C\mu}_\nu := \Gamma^{F\mu}_{\nu\sigma}dx^\sigma + C^\mu_{\nu\sigma}\delta y^\mu$, and the Chern-Rund connection $\omega^{CR\mu}_\nu := \Gamma^{F\mu}_{\nu\sigma}dx^\sigma$, where $\Gamma^{F\mu}_{\nu\rho}(x,\dot{x}) := \frac{g^{F\mu\sigma}(x,\dot{x})}{2}\Big[\delta_\nu g^F_{\sigma\rho}(x,\dot{x}) + \delta_\rho g^F_{\sigma\nu}(x,\dot{x}) - \delta_\sigma g^F_{\nu\rho}(x,\dot{x})\Big]$ and $\delta y^\mu := \frac{(dy^\mu + N^\mu_\nu dx^\nu)}{F}$ [38]. The Cartan connection is compatible with the Finsler metric g^F ($\nabla^C g^F_{\mu\nu} = 0$) whereas the Chern-Rund connection is almost-compatible, i.e., ($\nabla^{CR} g^F_{\mu\nu} = 2C_{\mu\nu\sigma}\delta y^\sigma$) [38]. Using the Cartan or the Chern-Rund connections, the Finslerian geodesic equation (8) can be written as $\frac{DP^F}{D\lambda} = 0$.

B. Generalized mass shell

In order to study the modifications of the mass shell in the Randers spacetime, we define a dual norm $F^* : T^*M/0 \to [0, \infty)$ and a dual pseudo-norm $L^* : T^*M \to \mathbb{R}$ acting on dual vectors θ and related by $F^*(x,\theta) = \sqrt{|L^*(x,\theta)|}$. In the Randers spacetime, the dual Finsler metric has the form

$$F^*_R(x,\theta) \;=\; \sqrt{g^{*\mu\nu}(x)\theta_\mu\theta_\nu} + a^{*\mu}(x)\theta_\mu, \tag{10}$$

where [39, 57]

$$a^{*\mu}(x) = -\zeta\frac{a^\mu}{(1 \pm \zeta^2 a^2)}, \tag{11}$$

$$g^{*\mu\nu}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{-(1+\zeta^2a^2)g^{\mu\nu}+\zeta^2a^\mu a^\nu}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)^2} & ,\theta \quad timelike \\ \frac{(1-\zeta^2a^2)g^{\mu\nu}+\zeta^2a^\mu a^\nu}{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^2} & ,\theta \quad spacelike \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Thus, the dual Finsler function $F^{*R}(x,\theta)$ depends on the the region of the spacetime (inside or outside the lightcone). For spacelike vectors we recover the usual Riemann-Finsler expression [39].

The dual Finsler metric $g^{*F}(x,\theta)$ is defined by [39, 63]

$$g^{*F\mu\nu}(x,\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 L^*(x,\theta)}{\partial\theta_\mu\partial\theta_\nu}, \tag{13}$$

where $g^{*F\mu\nu}(x,\theta) = g^{F\mu\nu}(x, y(\theta))$. The Finsler mass shell is given by [63, 68, 74, 79, 80]

$$g^{*F\mu\nu}(x, \mathbf{P^F})\mathbf{P}^F_\mu \mathbf{P}^F_\nu = -m^2. \tag{14}$$

The mass shell is not necessarily quadratic in the momentum and it can be rewritten as $L^*(x, \mathbf{P}^F) = -m^2$. For a metric-compatible connection (Cartan connection), the modified mass shell (14) is preserved under parallel displacements [74]. Using the dual version of the Finslerian mass shell (14) $g^F_{\mu\nu}(x, P^F)P^{F\mu}P^{F\nu} = -m^2$, the Cartan connection makes the $\frac{dP^{F\mu}}{d\lambda}$ be orthogonal to the Finslerian momentum $P^{F\mu}$. Thus, the Finslerian work $w := g^F_{\mu\nu}(x, \dot{x})\frac{dP^{F\mu}}{d\lambda}\dot{x}^\nu$ vanishes as expected, for the particle is free in an anisotropic spacetime.

Using the Randers dual norm in Eq.(10) the Randers covariant mass shell is given by

$$g^{\mu\nu}(x)P^F_\mu P^F_\nu + 2m\zeta(a \cdot P^F) = -(1 + \zeta^2 a^2)m^2. \tag{15}$$

For the flat metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ the deformed quadric in Eq.(15) defines an elliptical hyperboloid of two sheets. The Randers vector $\mathbf{a}$ stretches the spacetime such that for each instant the spatial section is an elliptic surface [38]. The modified dispersion relation (MDR) in Eq.(15) has a similar structure of the Lorentz-violating quadratic MDR found in the context of the Standard Model Extension (SME) [26, 30]. In fact, the momentum components relation has a square term measured with the local Lorentz-invariant metric $g^{\mu\nu}(x)$ and a Lorentz-violating term linear in the momentum. The mass-shell in (15) also differs from MDR studied in the Ref.[78] by the fact that here we are using the canonical momentum P^F and by the anisotropic factor $(1 + \zeta^2 a^2(x))$ in the mass term.

The homogeneity property of F allow us to rewrite the Lagrangian (5) as $L^R = P^F_\mu \dot{x}^\mu$. Then, the Hamiltonian function associated with the Randers Lagrangian (5) vanishes because $H(x,p) = P^F_\mu \dot{x}^\mu - L^R(x,\dot{x}) = 0$ [63], which is the result of the parametrization invariance. However, in a flat spacetime with a timelike Killing vector ξ^μ, i.e., $\partial_\rho g^F_{\mu\nu}(\dot{x})\xi^\rho + g^F_{\rho\mu}(\dot{x})\partial_\nu\xi^\rho + g^F_{\rho\nu}(\dot{x})\partial_\mu\xi^\rho + C_{\rho\mu\nu}(\dot{x})\dot{x}^\sigma\partial_\sigma\xi^\rho = 0$ [40, 63], by choosing a specific parametrization $E^F := g^F_{0\mu}(\dot{x})P^{F\mu}$, $P^F_i := g^F_{i\mu}(\dot{x})P^{F\mu}$, and defining $H^F := E^F$, the Finslerian particle Hamiltonian is given by

$$H^F(P^F) = \sqrt{||\vec{P}^F||^2 + (1 + \zeta^2||\vec{a}||^2)m^2 + 2m\zeta(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{P}^F)} - m\zeta a_0. \tag{16}$$

The Hamiltonian (16) has an anisotropic character due to the term $(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{P})$. For $\zeta = 0$, the Lorentz invariant Hamiltonian $H(P) = \sqrt{||\vec{P}||^2 + m^2}$ is recovered. The Hamiltonian is positive as long as $m\zeta \ll 1$, i.e., for masses less than the Planck scale $m < M_P$.

III. SCALAR FIELD DYNAMICS

A. Finsler action

Once analysed the dynamics of a point particle in the anisotropic Randers spacetime, let us now consider the real scalar field dynamics in this Local Lorentz violating spacetime. We assume that the real scalar field is function of the position x only, i.e., $\Phi = \Phi(x)$.

We start with an action functional of form

$$S_\Phi = \int_{M_4} \mathcal{L}_\Phi(x, \Phi, \partial\Phi) d^4x, \tag{17}$$

defined on the Randers spacetime M_4. We assume a boundaryless Randers spacetime whose scalar field vanishes asymptotically.

It is worthwhile to emphasize that the action function in Eq.(17) differs from the actions defined on the the tangent bundle [54, 72, 74]. In order to define the field Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_\Phi$, we have to postulate the coupling between the field Φ and the geometry and the volume measure to integrate out the Lagrangian.

Consider the action functional defined by a minimal coupling between the scalar field and the dual Finsler metric

$$S_\Phi := -\frac{1}{2}\int_{M_4} [g^{F*\mu\nu}(x, d\Phi)\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi + V(\Phi)] dVol_F(M_4), \tag{18}$$

where for a free scalar field, $V(\Phi) := m^2\Phi^2$, as usual.

The modified Finslerian Kinetic term $-g^{F*\mu\nu}(x, d\Phi)\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi$ can be rewritten as $F^{*2}(x, d\Phi)$ and then, it is coordinate independent. Further, the coupling between the derivatives of the scalar field Φ with the Finsler dual metric provides coupling terms of the field with the background field a present in the Finsler metric. The Finslerian Kinetic term also reduces to the usual Lorentzian $g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi$ for a Lorentz invariant spacetime, i.e., for $\zeta = 0$. It is worthwhile to say that the Kinetic term in (18) does not require a particular choice of connection. Further, since the scalar field depends only on the position coordinates x, we can use the usual derivatives ∂_μ instead of the horizontal derivatives δ_μ.

Since the Finsler metric $g^F_{\mu\nu}(x, y)$ depends on the direction, the volume form $dVol_F(M_4)$ can not be defined as the usual $dVol_F(M_4) = \sqrt{-g^F(x, y)}d^4x$. Thus, no canonical volume is known for Finsler spacetimes M_4 [39, 83]. In the literature there are two main and

different volume measures in Finsler spaces [39, 83, 84], namely the Holmes-Thompson measure defined initially on TTM [85] and the Busemann-Hausdorff measure [86].

In this work we adopt a measure intrinsically defined on M_4, the so-called Busemann-Hausdorff volume, defined by [39, 81]

$$dVol_{BH}(M) := \frac{Vol(\mathbb{B}^n)}{Vol(B_x^n)}\omega^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^n, \tag{19}$$

where, $B_x^4 = \{y \in T_xM : F(x,y) < 1\}$ is the Finslerian unit ball. The ratio $\frac{Vol(\mathbb{B}^n)}{Vol(B_x^n)}$ measures how the Finsler volume is deformed with respect to the Riemaniann volume.

Therefore, an intrinsically defined action for the scalar field in Finsler spacetimes is given by

$$S_\Phi := -\frac{1}{2}\int_{M_4} [g^{F*\mu\nu}(x, d\Phi)\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi + V(\Phi)]dVol_{BH}(M_4). \tag{20}$$

For the Rander spacetime, the Busemann-Haussdorf volume is given by [39]

$$\begin{aligned} dVol(M)_{BH} &= \sigma(x)d^4x \\ &= [(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}]\sqrt{-g}d^4x. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Note that the background vector $\mathbf{a}$ stretches the volume if it is timelike $a^2 < 0$ and $\mathbf{a}$ shrinks the volume if it is spacelike ($a^2 > 0$) [39]. For a lightlike Randers vector, the Finslerian volume is the same Riemannian volume.

Using the Busemann-Haussdorf volume the action for the scalar field is given by

$$S_\Phi := -\frac{1}{2}\int_{M_4} [g^{F*\mu\nu}(x, d\Phi)\partial_\mu\Phi(x)\partial_\nu\Phi(x) + V(\Phi)](1-\zeta^2a^2(x))^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g(x)}d^4x, \tag{22}$$

where $V(\Phi) = m^2\Phi^2$ for the free scalar field.

The nonlinear Finslerian action (22) provides the Finslerian Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}[g^{F*\mu\nu}(x, d\Phi)\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi + V(\Phi)](1-\zeta^2a(x)^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g(x)}. \tag{23}$$

The Finslerian Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_\Phi$ has a nonlinear dependence on the derivatives due to the Finsler metric. Further, the potential term, and hence the mass term, is modified by the anisotropic factor $(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}$.

It is worthwhile to mention that the Finslerian Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_\Phi$ in Eq.(23) bears some resemblance with the so-called *k-essence* models [87]. These models assume the dynamics of the scalar field is governed by a nonquadratic Lagrangian density of kind $\mathcal{L}(X, \Phi)$,

where $X := g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi$ [87]. The nonquadratic Lagrangian provides an *effective metric* $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}(x, d\Phi) := \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}(X,\Phi)}{\partial X}g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial^2\mathcal{L}(X,\Phi)}{\partial X^2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial^\mu\Phi\partial^\nu\Phi$ which comprises all the non-canonical kinetics and interaction effects. The *k-essence* models are used to explain cosmological and astrophysical effects such as inflation, Dark energy and Dark matter [87]. Models with non-canonical kinetic terms were also analyzed in field theory and condensed matter for topological defects [88].

The Finslerian Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_\Phi$ can also be extended for a complex scalar field φ, by

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi = -\frac{1}{2}\Big[g^{F*\mu\nu}(x, Re(d\varphi))\partial_\mu\varphi\partial_\nu\varphi^* + V(|\varphi|)\Big](1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}, \tag{24}$$

where $|\varphi| := \sqrt{\varphi\varphi^*}$. Even though the kinetic term is nonlinear, the homogeneity property of the dual Finsler metric ensures that the complex Finslerian Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_\varphi$ is invariant upon the global gauge transformation $\varphi \to e^{-i\Lambda}\varphi$ and $\varphi^* \to e^{i\Lambda}\varphi^*$. The gauge invariance of the nonlinear Finsler Lagrangian points out for a possible coupling with gauge fields, whilst in a nonlinear manner.

For a constant Randers background field $\mathbf{a}$ in a flat Lorentzian background $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, by a rescaling of coordinates $x^\mu \to (1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{8}}x^\mu$, the factor $(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}$ can be absorbed into the Jacobian volume, which brings the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_\Phi$ to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\Phi &= -\frac{1}{2}g^{F*\mu\nu}(d\Phi)\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi - \frac{V(\Phi)}{2}\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}g^{F*00}(d\Phi)(\dot{\Phi})^2 - \frac{1}{2}g^{F*ij}(d\Phi)\partial_i\Phi\partial_j\Phi - \frac{V(\Phi)}{2},\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\dot{\Phi} = \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t}$. Since the small value of ζ enable us to define a Lorentzian signature, i.e., $g^{F*00} < 0$, then the first term in the Lagrangian (25) is positive.

B. Lagrangian splitting

From the identity $g^{F*\mu\nu}(x, d\Phi)\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi = -F^*(x, d\Phi)^2$, we rewrite the Lagrangian density for the scalar field in Randers spacetime as

$$\mathcal{L}_\Phi = \mathcal{L}^b_\Phi + \mathcal{L}^{NQ}_\Phi, \tag{26}$$

where $\mathcal{L}^b_\Phi$ is the bilinear Lagrangian density constructed with the quadratic terms field derivatives $\partial\Phi$ and with the potential term, given by

$$\mathcal{L}^b_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\Bigg\{\Big[(1+\zeta^2a^2)g^{\mu\nu}(x) - 2\zeta^2a^\mu(x)a^\nu(x)\Big]\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi + \\ + V(\Phi)(1+\zeta^2a^2)^2\Bigg\}\frac{\sqrt{-g}(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)^2}, \tag{27}$$

and $\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_\Phi$ corresponds to the nonquadratic terms in the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_\Phi$, whose expression is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_\Phi = -\zeta(a\cdot\partial\Phi)\sqrt{[-(1+\zeta^2a^2)(\partial\Phi)^2 + \zeta^2(a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2]}\frac{\sqrt{-g}(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)^2}, \tag{28}$$

where, $(\partial\Phi)^2 := g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi$ and $a\cdot\partial\Phi := a^\mu\partial_\mu\Phi$. Note that for a Lorentzian spacetime, i.e. $\zeta = 0$, the nonquadratic Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_\Phi$ vanishes and the bilinear Lagrangian reduces to the Lorentz invariant $\mathcal{L}_\Phi = -\frac{1}{2}\Big[g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi + 2V(\Phi)\Big]\sqrt{-g}$.

The bilinear Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^b_\Phi$ bears some resemblance with the Lagrangian of the SME [7, 8, 16]. In fact, in $\mathcal{L}^b_\Phi$ there is a Lorentz invariant term $\mathcal{L}_{LI} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi$ and the Lorentz violating terms $\mathcal{L}_{LV} = -\frac{1}{2}\Big[\zeta^2a^2)g^{\mu\nu}(x) - 2\zeta^2a^\mu(x)a^\nu(x)\Big]\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi$, both multiplied by the anisotropic volume factor $(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}$ and the norm anisotropic factor $(1+\zeta^2a^2)^2$. Then, not only the potential term, and then the mass term, but also the modified kinetic term are modified.

The bilinear Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^b_\Phi$ in (27) can be expanded in powers of ζ as

$$\mathcal{L}^b_\Phi = \Bigg\{-\frac{1}{2}\Big[(\partial\Phi)^2 + V(\Phi)\Big] + \zeta^2\left[\frac{7}{4}a(x)^2(\partial\Phi)^2 + (a(x)\cdot\Phi)^2 + \frac{9}{4}a(x)^2V(\Phi)\right] \\ + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^4)\Bigg\}\sqrt{-g}. \tag{29}$$

Therefore, the Local Lorentz violating effects arise in second order in ζ parameter. The LV terms have a similar form of those proposed in the context of the Standard Model Extension (SME). Then, for the bilinear Lagrangian density term up second order in ζ, we can express it as $\mathcal{L}^b_\Phi = \mathcal{L}_{LI} + \mathcal{L}_{LV}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{LI}$ is a Lorentz invariant Lagrangian and $\mathcal{L}_{LV}$ is a Lorentz violating Lagrangian.

For a constant Randers field $\mathbf{a}$ in a flat Lorentzian background spacetime ($g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$), a rescaling of coordinates and of the background field yields the bilinear Lagrangian (27)

density to

$$\mathcal{L}^{b}_{\Phi} = -\frac{1}{2}\Big\{\Big[\eta^{\mu\nu}-\zeta^{2}a^{\mu}a^{\nu}\Big]\partial_{\mu}\Phi\partial_{\nu}\Phi+V(\Phi)\Big\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\Big\{(1+\zeta^{2}a_{0}^{2})\dot{\Phi}^{2}-||\vec{\nabla}\Phi||^{2}-2\zeta^{2}a_{0}\dot{\Phi}(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\Phi)+\zeta^{2}(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\Phi)^{2}-V(\Phi)\Big\}, \quad (30)$$

which has the same structure of the SME Lagrangian in flat spacetime [7, 8].

It is worth to notice that the nonquadratic Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_{\Phi}$ is proportional to norm of the differential of the scalar field, modified by the Randers form a, and it is also proportional to the projection $(a\cdot\partial\Phi)$. Then, for a specific configuration where a and $d\Phi$ are orthogonal, the nonquadratic Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_{\Phi}$ vanishes.

The nonquadractic Lagrangian has a similar form of the Finsler-Born-Infeld Lagrangian arising in the D-branes scenarios described in Ref.[89]. Expanding the nonquadratic Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_{\Phi}$ (28) in powers of ζ, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_{\Phi} = -\sqrt{-g}\zeta(a^{\mu}(x)\partial_{\mu}\Phi)\sqrt{-g^{\nu\sigma}(x)\partial_{\nu}\Phi\partial_{\sigma}\Phi}+\sqrt{-g}\zeta^{3}\Bigg\{\frac{9}{2}(a^{\mu}(x)\partial_{\mu}\Phi)\sqrt{-g^{\nu\sigma}(x)\partial_{\nu}\Phi\partial_{\sigma}\Phi}$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\frac{(a^{\mu}(x)\partial_{\mu}\Phi)}{\sqrt{-g^{\nu\sigma}(x)\partial_{\nu}\Phi\partial_{\sigma}\Phi}}\Big[(a^{\mu}(x)\partial_{\mu}\Phi)^{2}-a^{2}(g^{\nu\sigma}(x)\partial_{\nu}\Phi\partial_{\sigma}\Phi)\Big]\Bigg\}+\mathcal{O}(\zeta^{5}). \quad (31)$$

Then, in the leading order, the nonquadratic Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_{\Phi}$ has the form

$$\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_{\Phi} = -\sqrt{-g}\zeta(a^{\mu}(x)\partial_{\mu}\Phi)\sqrt{-g^{\nu\sigma}(x)\partial_{\nu}\Phi\partial_{\sigma}\Phi}. \quad (32)$$

In a flat background $(\eta_{\mu\nu}, a)$, the nonquadratic Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_{\Phi}$ assumes the form

$$\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_{\Phi} = -\zeta(a\cdot\partial\Phi)\sqrt{[-(\partial\Phi)^{2}+\zeta^{2}(a\cdot\partial\Phi)^{2}]}. \quad (33)$$

The nonquadratic Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{NQ}$ has important features. The first factor $(a\cdot\partial\Phi)$ changes of sign under the transformation $x\to -x$. This parity asymmetry of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{NQ}$ is a result of the lack of the symmetry $F_{R}(x,-y)=F_{R}(x,y)$ present in the Randers spacetime. The second factor $\sqrt{[-(\partial\Phi)^{2}+\zeta^{2}(a\cdot\partial\Phi)^{2}]}$ is the square root of a quadratic Lagrangian with a Lorentz violation $\mathcal{L}_{LV}=[-(\partial\Phi)^{2}+\zeta^{2}(a\cdot\partial\Phi)^{2}]$ and then, it can be interpreted as a non-canonical modified Kinetic term of the SME [7, 8], as in the *k-essence* models [87]. The square root provides high derivatives terms to the equation of motion which modify the propagator structure.

C. Momentum canonically conjugate and Hamiltonian

From the Lagrangian density (23), we can define the Finslerian canonical conjugate momentum 4-vector by

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{\mu} &:= \frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2 a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\Phi}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\Phi)} \\ &= -g^{F*\mu\nu}(x, d\Phi)\partial_{\nu}\Phi. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

The factor $1/(1-\zeta^2 a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}$ is introduced in Eq.(34) to define a 4-vector instead of a 4-density. By the Finslerian duality, the covariant conjugate canonical momentum is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\mu} &:= g^{F}_{\mu\nu}(x, d\Phi)\Pi^{\nu} \\ &= \partial_{\mu}\Phi. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The momentum Π canonically conjugate to the field Φ is given by

$$\Pi = -g^{F*00}(d\Phi)\dot{\Phi}. \tag{36}$$

Supposing that the Lorentzian signature of $g^{F*\mu\nu}(x, d\Phi)$ holds, Π and $\dot{\Phi}$ has the same sign. It is worthwhile to say that, likewise the Finslerian particle canonical momentum $P^{F}_{\mu} = m g^{F}_{\mu\nu}(x, \dot{x})\dot{x}^{\nu}$ which is in general nonlinear in the velocities components, the momentum canonically conjugate Π in Eq.(36) is generically nonlinear in the derivatives of the field Φ.

In a flat Lorentzian background $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, for a constant Randers vector $\mathbf{a}$, we can define a Finslerian canonical energy-momentum tensor

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta^{F\mu}_{\nu} &:= \Pi^{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\Phi - \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\mathcal{L}_{\Phi} \\ &= -g^{F*\mu\lambda}(d\Phi)\partial_{\lambda}\Phi\partial_{\nu}\Phi + \frac{\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}}{2}\Big[g^{F*\lambda\rho}(d\Phi)\partial_{\lambda}\Phi\partial_{\rho}\Phi + V(\Phi)\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

which reduces to the usual Lorentzian canonical energy-momentum tensor for $\zeta = 0$. Note that the Finslerian canonical energy-momentum tensor is not necessary quadratic in the derivatives of the field Φ.

Consider that the Randers spacetime possesses a Finsler timelike Killing vector ξ^{μ}. Then, the $\Theta^{F\mu}_{\nu}(d\Phi)\xi^{\nu}$ is a conserved quantity [40, 63]. Then, we can define the field Hamiltonian density for the free scalar field as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^{F}(\Phi, \Pi) &:= \Pi\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{L}_{\Phi} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Pi^2}{g^{F*00}(d\Phi)} + \frac{1}{2}g^{F*ij}(d\Phi)\partial_{i}\Phi\partial_{j}\Phi + \frac{m^2\Phi^2}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

For $\zeta = 0$ we obtain the usual Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\Big[\Pi^2 + ||\vec{\nabla}\Phi||^2 + \frac{m^2\Phi^2}{2}\Big]$. For a unit timelike Killing vector ξ^0, the field Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}^F = \Theta_0^{F0}$ is a conserved quantity. Moreover, since $||\vec{\nabla}\Phi||_F^2 := \frac{1}{2}g^{F*ij}(d\Phi)\partial_i\Phi\partial_j\Phi$, as long as $g^{F*00}(d\Phi) < 0$, the field Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}^F(\Phi,\Pi)$ in Eq.(38) is bounded from below, i.e., $\mathcal{H} \geq 0$.

In Randers spacetime, the Finslerian canonical conjugate momentum can be split out into two terms. From the bilinear Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_\Phi^b$, we obtain the linear conjugate canonical momentum 4-vector

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi^{b\mu} &:= \frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_\Phi^b}{\partial(\partial_\mu\Phi)} \\
&= -\frac{1}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)}\partial^\mu\Phi + \frac{2\zeta^2}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)^2}(a\cdot\partial\Phi)a^\mu \qquad (39)\\
&= \partial^\mu\Phi + \zeta^2\Big[a^2\partial^\mu\Phi + 2(a\cdot\partial\Phi)a^\mu\Big] + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^4). \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise the particle canonical momentum (6), the linear conjugate canonical momentum 4-vector is the sum of the usual Lorentzian invariant canonical momentum and some corrections due to the projection of the Lorentzian gradient $\partial\Phi$ with the background field $\mathbf{a}$. Besides, the anisotropic factor $(1+\zeta^2a^2)$ also modifies the structure of the linear conjugate canonical momentum 4-vector. However, the anisotropic effects on the canonical momentum (40) are of at least of second order in the Lorentz violating parameter ζ.

Considering the bilinear action in a constant Lorentzian background (η, a), a global shift of coordinates turns the linear conjugate canonical momentum 4-vector (40) into

$$\Pi^{b\mu} = -\partial^\mu\Phi + \zeta^2(a\cdot\partial\Phi)a^\mu, \qquad (41)$$

and therefore, the momentum canonically conjugate is given by

$$\Pi^b = \dot{\Phi} + \zeta^2 a_0\Big[a_0\dot{\Phi} - (\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\Phi)\Big]. \qquad (42)$$

The Finslerian linear momentum canonically conjugate in Eq.(42) has the Lorentz-invariant momentum $\dot{\Phi}$ and correction due the background Randers vector, as the particle canonical momentum (6). For a timelike Randers vector $a = (a_0, \vec{0})$, $\Pi^b = (1+\zeta^2a_0^2)\dot{\Phi}$. The linear momentum Π^b differs from the usual Lorentzian momentum by a constant factor $(1+\zeta^2a_0^2)$, which can be absorbed by a field redefinition or by a coordinate rescaling. For a spacelike Randers vector $a = (0,0,0,1)$, the $\Pi^b = \dot{\Phi}$ relation is straightforward obtained.

However, for a lightlike Randers vector $a = (1, 0, 0, 1)$, the presence of the factor $(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\nabla}\Phi)$ provides an angular dependence which varies from point to point.

The Hamiltonian density corresponding to the bilinear Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^b_\Phi$ in the flat Randers spacetime can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^b(\Phi,\Pi) &:= \Pi^b\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{L}^b_\Phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[\dot{\Phi}^2 + ||\nabla\Phi||^2 + m^2\Phi^2] + \zeta^2\Big[a_0^2\dot{\Phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\Phi)^2\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

The first term in the bilinear Hamiltonian density Eq.(43) is the Lorentz-invariant quadratic Hamiltonian whereas the second term, proportional to ζ^2, contains the Lorentz violating terms.

Let us analyze the stability of the scalar field under the influence of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^b$ in (43). For a timelike Randers vector $a = (1, \vec{0})$, the bilinear Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^b = \frac{1}{2}[(1+\zeta^2)\dot{\Phi}^2 + ||\nabla\Phi||^2 + m^2\Phi^2]$ is positive definite. This result is similar to the stability of the bumblebee models [17, 18]. The spacelike Randers vector $a = (0, 0, 0, 1)$, in its turn, provides the term $\mathcal{H}^b_{LV1} := -\zeta^2\frac{1}{2}(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\Phi)^2$ to the Hamiltonian which can produces unstable states. Since this Hamiltonian term is proportional to ζ^2, these instabilities can appear for scalar field configurations such that $\zeta^2||\vec{\nabla}\Phi||^2 \approx 1$, i.e., for high momentum states. For a lightlike Randers vector $a = (1, 0, 0, 1)$, there is a competition between the term $\mathcal{H}^b_{LV2} := a_0^2\dot{\Phi}^2$ and $\mathcal{H}^b_{LV1}$. Then, the ζ^2-positivity of the bilinear Hamiltonian will depend on the specific configuration $(\dot{\Phi}, \vec{\nabla}\Phi)$.

The nonquadratic Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{NL}_\Phi$ provides the conjugate canonical momentum 4-vector,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{NL\mu} &:= \frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}^{NL}_\Phi}{\partial(\partial_\mu\Phi)} \\ &= \zeta\frac{1}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)^2\sqrt{-(1+\zeta^2a^2)(\partial\Phi)^2 + \zeta^2(a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2}}\Bigg\{(a\cdot\partial\Phi)(1+\zeta^2a^2)\partial^\mu\Phi + \\ &+ a^\mu\Big[(1+\zeta^2a^2)(\partial\Phi)^2 - 2\zeta^2(a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2\Big]\Bigg\} \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

The nonlinear conjugate canonical momentum 4-vector $\Pi^{NL\mu}$ in (44) is composed by an involved relation between the field Φ and the Randers vector $\mathbf{a}$. The nonlinear momentum canonically conjugate to the scalar field Φ, $\Pi^{NL} := \Pi^{NL0}$. The presence of the factor $(a\cdot\partial\Phi)g^{00}(x)\dot{\Phi}$ in (44) makes the sign of Π^{NL} depends on the orientation of 4-gradient $\partial^\mu\Phi$ with respect to the Randers vector $\mathbf{a}$. For a timelike background Randers vector, the sign is positive.

For a constant $(\eta_{\mu\nu}, a)$, the nonlinear conjugate canonical momentum 4-vector $\Pi^{NL\mu}$ has the form

$$\Pi^{NL\mu} = \zeta \frac{1}{\sqrt{-(\partial\Phi)^2 + \zeta^2 (a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2}} \left\{ (a\cdot\partial\Phi)\partial^\mu\Phi + a^\mu \Big[(\partial\Phi)^2 - 2\zeta^2 (a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2 \Big] \right\} \quad (45)$$

and then, the nonlinear momentum canonically conjugate Π^{NL} is given by

$$\Pi^{NL} = \zeta \frac{1}{\sqrt{-(\partial\Phi)^2 + \zeta^2 (a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2}} \left\{ -(a\cdot\partial\Phi)\dot{\Phi} + a^0 \Big[(\partial\Phi)^2 - 2\zeta^2 (a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2 \Big] \right\}. \quad (46)$$

The involved relation between the nonlinear momentum canonically conjugate Π^{NL} and the temporal derivative $\dot{\Phi}$ is a consequence of the nonlinear duality provided by the Finsler metric. This Finslerian duality also yields to a nonlinear Legendre transform which defines the nonquadratic Hamiltonian density by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{NQ}_{\Phi} &:= \Pi^{NL}\dot{\Phi} - \mathcal{L}^{NQ}_{\Phi} \\ &= \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{-(\partial\Phi)^2 + \zeta^2 (a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2}} \left\{ -(a\cdot\partial\Phi)\dot{\Phi} + a_0 \Big[-(\partial\Phi)^2 + 2\zeta^2 (a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2 \Big] + \right. \\ &+ \left. (a\cdot\partial\Phi) \Big[-(\partial\Phi)^2 + 2\zeta^2 (a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2 \Big] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

The sign of the nonquadratic Hamiltonian density also depends on the relative direction between a and $\partial^\mu\Phi$. For a timelike Randers vector $a = (a_0, \vec{0})$, and hence $a\cdot\partial\Phi = -a_0\dot{\Phi}$. Thus, the quadratic terms $-(a\cdot\partial\Phi)\dot{\Phi} + a_0 \Big[-(\partial\Phi)^2 + 2\zeta^2 (a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2 \Big]$ are positive whereas the third-order terms $(a\cdot\partial\Phi) \Big[-(\partial\Phi)^2 + 2\zeta^2 (a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2 \Big]$ are negative.

It is worthwhile to say that the terms in the bilinear $\mathcal{H}^b$ and nonquadratic $\mathcal{H}^{NQ}$ Hamiltonians which can yield to instabilities are both proportional to the factor $(a\cdot\partial\Phi)$. This linear factor, which arises from the Randers dual norm, usually provides an exponential decreasing or increasing pattern to the scalar solution. Nevertheless, since the negative terms in the bilinear and nonquadratic Hamiltonians are proportional to ζ and ζ^2, respectively, then the stability might be affected only for high energy configurations. Furthermore, the presence of nonlinear term and high derivatives might enable the existence of solitonic stable solutions.

D. Nonlinear Finsler Klein-Gordon equation

Extremizing the Finslerian action (22), the Euler-Lagrange equation yields to the equation of motion for the field Φ

$$\frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu\Big[(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}g^{F*\mu\nu}(x,d\Phi)\partial_\nu\Phi\Big]=\frac{\partial V(\Phi)}{\partial\Phi}, \tag{48}$$

where for the free scalar field, $\frac{\partial V(\Phi)}{\partial\Phi}=m^2\Phi$.

The Eq.(48) is a nonlinear Finslerian extension of the Klein-Gordon equation. By defining the nonlinear D'Alembertian operator

$$\Box^F\Phi:=\frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu\Big[(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}g^{F*\mu\nu}(x,d\Phi)\partial_\nu\Phi\Big], \tag{49}$$

the nonlinear Finslerian Klein-Gordon equation can be rewritten as

$$\Box^F\Phi=\frac{\partial V(\Phi)}{\partial\Phi}. \tag{50}$$

The nonlinear Finslerian D'Alembertian operator $\Box^F\Phi$ is an extension of the so-called Shen Laplacian [39, 81], defined on Riemann-Finsler spaces, to Pseudo-Finsler spacetimes. In fact, the nonlinear Finsler Klein-Gordon equation (48) can be rewritten as

$$-\frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}\partial_0\Big[(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}g^{F*00}(x,d\Phi)\dot{\Phi}\Big]+\triangle_{Shen}\Phi=\frac{\partial V(\Phi)}{\partial\Phi}, \tag{51}$$

where $\triangle_{Shen}\Phi:=\frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}\partial_i\Big[(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}g^{F*ij}(x,d\Phi)\partial_j\Phi\Big]$ is the Shen Laplacian [39, 81].

The nonlinear Finslerian Klein-Gordon equation Eq.(48) is intrinsically defined on the spacetime $\mathcal{M}_4$ and the anisotropy is encoded in the Finsler metric which also provides the nonlinear terms. For $\zeta=0$ the Lorentz invariant Klein-Gordon equation and the D'Alembertian operator are recovered.

The free nonlinear Finslerian Klein-Gordon equation can be rewritten as

$$g^{F*\mu\nu}(x,d\Phi)\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\Phi+\partial_\mu\left[\log\Big[(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}\Big]g^{F*\mu\nu}(x,d\Phi)\right]\partial_\nu\Phi=m^2\Phi. \tag{52}$$

For a flat spacetime with a constant background field a, the anisotropic volume factor $(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}$ can be absorbed in a change of coordinates and the Klein-Gordon equation (52) yields to

$$g^{F*\mu\nu}(d\Phi)\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\Phi+\partial_\mu(g^{F*\mu\nu}(d\Phi))\partial_\nu\Phi=m^2\Phi. \tag{53}$$

In this situation, the usual quantization procedure of define

$$P_{\mu}^{F} := -i\partial_{\mu}, \tag{54}$$

and replace the momentum operator (54) in the modified dispersion relation (14) does not yield to the same nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation (52). In fact, the on-shell definition of the scalar field equation of motion provides the term $g^{F*\mu\nu}(d\Phi)\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\Phi = m^2\Phi$, as obtained for the Bogoslovsky spactime [79, 80]. It is worthwhile to point out that the on-shell extension of the Klein-Gordon equation for Finsler spacetimes already exhibits a nonlinear character. Therefore, the action functional (22) provides the on-shell quantization terms and also new terms due to the dual Finsler metric variation.

Using the momentum canonically conjugate Π in Eq.(36), the free flat Finslerian Klein-Gordon equation (52) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Phi} &= -\frac{\Pi}{g^{F*00}(d\Phi)} \\ \dot{\Pi}^{F} &= \triangle_{Shen}\Phi - m^2\Phi. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Assuming there is a timelike Finsler Killing vector ξ^{μ} which defines a conserved quantity $E^F = g^F_{\mu\nu}(\dot{x})\dot{x}^{\mu}\xi^{\nu}$, the dynamical equations of the free field can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Phi} &= \{\Phi, H^F\} \\ \dot{\Pi}^{F} &= \{\Pi, H^F\}. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Let us analyze the propagation features of the Finslerian Klein-Gordon equation (52). Consider the ray approximation, where the wave length λ is much smaller then the geometrical characteristic length L, i.e., $\lambda << L$ [60]. A ray *ansatz* for the scalar field has the form [60]

$$\Phi(x) := Re\Big[(a_1 + \epsilon a_2 + \cdots)e^{-i\frac{\psi(x)}{\epsilon}}\Big], \tag{57}$$

where $\epsilon := \frac{\lambda}{L}$ and the phase function $\psi(x)$ is called the eikonal. The differential of the field Φ (57) is given by

$$d\Phi = \frac{1}{\epsilon}A(x)k_1 + \theta_1, \tag{58}$$

where, $A(x) := Re\Big[-i(a_1 + \epsilon a_2 + \cdots)e^{-i\frac{\psi(x)}{\epsilon}}\Big]$, $k := k_{\mu}dx^{\mu}$, $k_{\mu} := \partial_{\mu}\psi$ and $\theta_1 := \theta_{\mu}dx^{\mu}$, where $\theta_{\mu} := Re\Big[(\partial_{\mu}(a_1+\epsilon a_2+\cdots)e^{-i\frac{\psi(x)}{\epsilon}})\Big]$. At leading order, $g^{F*\mu\nu}(x, d\Phi) = g^{F*\mu\nu}(x, Ak) = g^{F*\mu\nu}(x, k)$.

Therefore, at leading order, the nonlinear Finslerian Klein-Gordon equation (48) yields to the modified dispersion relation

$$g^{F*\mu\nu}(x,k)k_\mu k_\nu = -m^2. \tag{59}$$

Then, at leading order, the wave 1-form k modified dispersion relation in (59) satisfies the point particle modified mass shell (14).

The modified dispersion relation (59) shows that, at leading order, the usual quantization procedure $P_\mu = i\partial_\mu$ can be obtained from the Finslerian action (22). Indeed, at leading order, $P^F_\mu\phi = i\partial_\mu\Phi \Rightarrow P^F_\mu = k_\mu$. For a plane wave in a flat spacetime, the eikonal is $\psi(x) = k\cdot x$. Note that the normal vector to the constant phase hypersurface $\psi = C$, given by $k^\mu = g^{F*\mu\nu}(x,k)k_\nu$, is not the same Lorentzian vector whose components are raised with the Lorentzian metric g.

The nonlinear Finsler D'Alembertian operator can be split out into a linear D'Alembertian operator

$$\begin{aligned}\Box^{FL}\Phi \;:=\; & \frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu\Bigg\{\frac{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)^2}\Big[(1+\zeta^2a^2)\partial^\mu\Phi \\ & -\; 2\zeta^2(a\cdot\partial\Phi)a^\mu\Big]\Bigg\},\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where $\partial^\mu := g^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\nu$, and a nonlinear D'Alembertian operator

$$\begin{aligned}\Box^{FNL}\Phi(x) \;:=\; & \frac{\zeta}{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu\Bigg\{\frac{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)^2}\Big[\sqrt{-(\partial\Phi)^2+\zeta^2(a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2}a^\mu \\ & -\; (a\cdot\partial\Phi)\frac{[\partial^\mu\Phi-\zeta^2(a\cdot\partial\Phi)a^\mu]}{\sqrt{-(\partial\Phi)^2+\zeta^2(a\cdot\partial\Phi)^2}}\Big]\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

It is worthwhile to say that, though the linear and nonlinear D'Alembertian operators have only position dependence x, the anisotropy driven by the background vector a is present in the terms as the projection $a\cdot\partial\Phi$, norm a^2 and the direction a^μ.

The linear D'Alembertian operator (60) can be obtained from the Kinetic term in the bilinear Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^b_\Phi$ in (27) whereas the nonlinear D'Alembertian operator (61) can be derived from the nonlinear Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^{NL}_\Phi$ in (28). Further, the linear and nonlinear D'Alembertian operators can be rewritten in terms of the linear and nonlinear

canonical momentum 4-vector, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}\Box^{FL}\Phi &:= \frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu\Big[(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}\Pi^{L\mu}\Big]\\ \Box^{FNL}\Phi &:= \frac{1}{(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu\Big[(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\sqrt{-g}\Pi^{NL\mu}\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Writing the Finslerian D'Alembertians in terms of the canonical momentum 4-vector as done in Eq.(62) express the definition of the D'Alembertian operator as a 4-divergence of the Finslerian 4-gradient [39].

IV. LINEAR DYNAMICS SECTOR

The bilinear Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}^b_\Phi$ in (27) already exhibits interesting anisotropic modifications in its own. Besides, since the anisotropic corrections of the bilinear Lagrangian arise in the second order in ζ while the corrections due to the nonquadratic Lagrangian appears in first-order in ζ, we can explore the properties of these two sectors separately. In this section we concerned ourselves with the effects arising from this linear anisotropic dynamics.

The Euler-Lagrange equations from the bilinear Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^b_\Phi$ yields the equation

$$\Box^{FL}\Phi(x) = \frac{\partial V(\Phi)}{\partial\Phi}, \tag{63}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)}\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\Phi - \frac{2\zeta^2}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)^2}\Big[a^\mu a^\nu\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\Phi + (a\cdot\partial\Phi)\partial_\mu a^\mu + a^\mu\partial_\mu a^\nu\partial_\nu\Phi\\ &\quad + a(\partial_\mu a)\partial^\mu\Phi - \frac{2\zeta^2}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)}a^\mu\partial_\mu a(a\cdot\partial\Phi)\Big] + \frac{\partial_\mu\Big[\log\big(\sqrt{-g}(1-\zeta^2a^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}\big)\Big]}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)^2}\times\\ &\Big[(1+\zeta^2a^2)\partial^\mu\Phi - 2\zeta^2(a\cdot\partial\Phi)a^\mu\Big] = \frac{\partial V(\Phi)}{\partial\Phi}\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

In a flat spacetime ($g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu}$), we can consider a constant background vector $\mathbf{a}$, which yields to the linear anisotropic Klein-Gordon equation

$$\frac{1}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)}\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\Phi - \frac{2\zeta^2}{(1+\zeta^2a^2)^2}(a^\mu a^\nu\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\Phi) = \frac{\partial V(\Phi)}{\partial\Phi}. \tag{65}$$

Since $\mathbf{a}$ is constant, by change the coordinate $x^\mu \to \left(\sqrt{(1+\zeta^2a^2)}\right)x^\mu$ and rescaling the background vector $a \to \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{(1+\zeta^2a^2}}\right)a$, the linear equation of motion (65) can be cast in the

form

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \Phi - \zeta^2 (a^\mu a^\nu \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Phi) = \frac{\partial V(\Phi)}{\partial \Phi}. \tag{66}$$

It is worthwhile to say that, by change the metric signature from the mostly plus to the mostly minus $(+,-,-,-)$, the Eq.(66) can be rewritten as $\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \Phi + \zeta^2 (a^\mu a^\nu \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Phi) = -\frac{\partial V(\Phi)}{\partial \Phi}$, as in the SME [8].

A. Modified dispersion relation

Let us study the properties of a wave-packet solutions of the scalar field in a flat Randers space given by Eq.(66).

Considering the Fourier transform *ansatz* for Φ

$$\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \tilde{\Phi}(k) e^{-ik\cdot x} d^4k, \tag{67}$$

the linear free Finlerian Klein-Gordon equation Eq.(66) yields to the modified dispersion relation

$$k^2 - \zeta^2 (a \cdot k)^2 = -m^2, \tag{68}$$

where $k^2 := \eta^{\mu\nu} k_\mu k_\nu$, $a^2 := \eta^{\mu\nu} a_\mu a_\nu$ and $a \cdot k := \eta^{\mu\nu} a_\mu k_\nu$. The modified dispersion relation (MDR) in (68) has a similar form of the modified particle mass shell in (15). The MDR in the linear regime (68) resembles the MDR for the graviton in the Bumblebee model [22]. It is worthwhile to compare the scalar field (68) obtained from the action principle (18) in the linear regime and that obtained by the direct replacement of $P_\mu = i\partial_\mu$, in the particle mass-shell Eq.(15), as performed in Ref.[80]. This procedure yields to $k^2 + 2m\zeta(a \cdot k) = -(1+\zeta^2 a^2) m^2$. Note the k^2 and the mass terms is the same as in (68). The difference arises in the projection term $(a \cdot k)$ which is quadratic in (68). The quadratic projection term $(a \cdot k)^2$ arises due to the quadratic character of the action functional (22).

For $k = (k_0, \vec{k})$, the modified dispersion relation (68) takes the form

$$(1+\zeta^2 a_0^2) k_0^2 - 2\zeta^2 a_0 k_0 (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{k}) - \left[||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2 - \zeta^2 (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{k})^2 \right] = 0. \tag{69}$$

From (69), the relation between the frequency and wave vector is given by

$$\begin{aligned} k_0(\vec{k}) \;=\; & \pm \frac{1}{(1+\zeta^2 a_0^2)} \left\{ \left[||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2 \right] (1+\zeta^2 a_0^2) - \zeta^2 (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{k})^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & + \; \frac{\zeta^2 a_0 (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{k})}{(1+\zeta^2 a_0^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Note the presence of term as $\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k}$ which yields to an anisotropic dispersion relation. For $\zeta=0$, we recover the Lorentzian relation $k_0(\vec{k})=\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2+m^2}$.

Expanding $k_0(\vec{k})$ given in (70) in powers of ζ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k_0(\vec{k}) &= \pm\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2+m^2}\Bigg\{1-\zeta^2\frac{1}{2}\Big[a_0^2+\frac{(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})^2}{(||\vec{k}||^2+m^2)}\Big]\Bigg\}+ \\ &- \zeta^2 a_0(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})+\mathcal{O}(\zeta^4), \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

which shows that the modifications in the frequency are of second-order in ζ.

Let us decompose the 3-momentum $\vec{k}$ into its transverse $\vec{k}_T$ and longitudinal $\vec{k}_L$ with respect to the Randers background vector $\mathbf{a}$ by

$$\vec{k}=\vec{k}_T+\vec{k}_L, \tag{72}$$

where $\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k}_T=0$ and $\vec{k}_T\cdot\vec{k}_L=0$. Using the momentum decomposition (72), the modified dispersion relation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} k_0(\vec{k}_T,\vec{k}_L) &= \pm\frac{1}{(1+\zeta^2a_0^2)}\Bigg\{\Big[||\vec{k}_T||^2+||\vec{k}_L||^2+m^2\Big](1+\zeta^2a_0^2)-\zeta^2||\vec{a}||^2||\vec{k}_L||^2\Bigg\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ \frac{\zeta^2a_0||\vec{k}_L||}{(1+\zeta^2a_0^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Since there is a difference in the dependence of frequency k_0 with respect to the transverse $\vec{k}_T$ and longitudinal $\vec{k}_L$ momenta, we have two distinct group velocities, namely the transverse group velocity $\vec{v}_g^{\,T}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v}_g^{\,FT} &= \frac{\partial k_0}{\partial\vec{k}_T} \\ &= \frac{\vec{k}_T}{\sqrt{\Big[||\vec{k}_T||^2+||\vec{k}_L||^2+m^2\Big](1+\zeta^2a_0^2)-\zeta^2||\vec{a}||^2||\vec{k}_L||^2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

and the longitudinal group velocity $\vec{v}_g^{\,L}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v}_g^{\,FL} &= \frac{\partial k_0}{\partial\vec{k}_L} \\ &= \frac{\vec{k}_L}{1+\zeta^2a_0^2}\Bigg\{\frac{[1+\zeta^2(a_0^2-||\vec{a}||^2)]}{\sqrt{\Big[||\vec{k}_T||^2+||\vec{k}_L||^2+m^2\Big](1+\zeta^2a_0^2)-\zeta^2||\vec{a}||^2||\vec{k}_L||^2}} \\ &+ \zeta^2\frac{a_0}{(1+\zeta^2a_0^2)||\vec{k}_L||}\Bigg\}. \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

For a Lorentz invariant spacetime, i.e. $\zeta = 0$, $\vec{v}_g^{FT} = \vec{v}_g^{FL}$.

Another way to analyze the dependence of the frequencies with the direction can be achieved by taking $(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k}) = ||\vec{a}||||\vec{k}||\cos\theta$, where θ is the angle between $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{k}$ [22]. This approach yields to

$$\begin{aligned} k_0(\vec{k}) &= \pm\frac{1}{(1+\zeta^2 a_0^2)}\sqrt{\Big[1+\zeta^2(a_0^2-||\vec{a}||^2\cos^2\theta)\Big]||\vec{k}||^2+(1+\zeta^2a_0^2)m^2} \\ &+ \frac{\zeta^2 a_0(||\vec{a}||||\vec{k}||\cos\theta)}{(1+\zeta^2a_0^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

The anisotropic frequency in Eq.(76) provides the angular dependent group velocity

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v}_g^F &= \frac{\partial k_0(\vec{k})}{\partial\vec{k}} \\ &= \frac{\vec{k}}{(1+\zeta^2a_0^2)}\left\{\frac{\pm[1+\zeta^2(a_0^2-||\vec{a}||^2\cos^2\theta)]}{\sqrt{\Big[1+\zeta^2(a_0^2-||\vec{a}||||\vec{k}||\cos^2\theta)\Big]||\vec{k}||^2+(1+\zeta^2a_0^2)m^2}}+\zeta^2\frac{a_0||\vec{a}||\cos\theta}{||\vec{k}||}\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

For $\zeta = 0$ we recover the Lorentz invariant group velocity $v_g = \pm||\vec{k}||/\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2+m^2}$. The extreme cases are for the longitudinal velocity ($\theta = 0$) and for the transverse velocity ($\theta = \pi/2$).

For a timelike Randers background vector a, for instance, $a = (a_0, \vec{0})$, the frequency k_0 is a function of the wave vector $\vec{k}$ as

$$k_0(\vec{k}) = \pm\frac{1}{(1+a_0^2\zeta^2)}\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2+m^2}. \tag{78}$$

Then, $v_g^F = v_g^{FT} = v_g^{FL}\frac{1}{(1+a_0^2\zeta^2)}v_g$. Since $v_g^F \leq v_g$, all modes are inside the Lorentz invariant lightcone. Further, by shifting the 3-momentum $\vec{k}$ and the mass m by $\vec{k}\to\sqrt{[1+a_0^2\zeta^2]}\vec{k}$ and $m\to\sqrt{[1+a_0^2\zeta^2]}m$, we can rewrite Eq.(78) as $k_0(\vec{k}) = \pm\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2+m^2}$. Therefore, no modification of the dispersion relation is achieved by the timelike vector in the linear regime.

For a spacelike vector, viz., $a = (0,0,0,a_z)$, the modified dispersion relation writes

$$k_0(\vec{k}) = \pm\sqrt{||\vec{k}_T||^2+m^2+(1-a_z^2\zeta^2)||\vec{k}_L||^2}. \tag{79}$$

The transverse group velocity reads

$$v_g^{FT} = \frac{||\vec{k}_T||}{k_0}, \tag{80}$$

whereas the longitudinal group velocity,

$$v_g^{FL} = (1 - a_z^2\zeta^2)\frac{||\vec{k}_L||}{k_0}. \tag{81}$$

Although $v_g^T \neq v_g^L$, since $0 \leq a_z\zeta < 1$, no superluminal signals are created for a background Randers spacelike vector.

For a lightlike vector Randers vector, e.g., $a = (a_0, 0, 0, a_0)$, we obtain the following modified dispersion relation

$$k_0(\vec{k}_T, \vec{k}_L) = \pm\frac{1}{(1 + a_0^2\zeta^2)}\sqrt{\Big[||\vec{k}_T||^2 + m^2\Big](1 + a_0^2\zeta^2) + ||\vec{k}_L||^2} + \frac{\zeta^2 a_0||\vec{k}_L||}{(1 + a_0^2\zeta^2)}. \tag{82}$$

Unlike the timelike and spacelike cases, the positive and negative states do not have the same energy, albeit their difference is proportional to $\frac{\zeta^2 a_0||\vec{k}_L||}{(1+a_0^2\zeta^2)}$. The transverse modes have group velocity given by

$$v_g^{FT} \;=\; \pm\frac{||\vec{k}_T||}{\sqrt{\Big[||\vec{k}_T||^2 + m^2\Big](1 + a_0^2\zeta^2) + ||\vec{k}_L||^2}}, \tag{83}$$

and then, these modes satisfy the causality condition $|v_g^T| \leq 1$. The longitudinal modes, in their turn, have the following group velocity

$$v_g^{FL} \;=\; \frac{||\vec{k}_L||}{1 + a_0^2\zeta^2}\left\{ \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Big[||\vec{k}_T||^2 + m^2\Big](1 + a_0^2\zeta^2) + ||\vec{k}_L||^2}} + \zeta^2\frac{a_0}{||\vec{k}_L||} \right\}. \tag{84}$$

Although the positive and negative energy modes have different longitudinal velocities, all the excitations have transverse and longitudinal velocities inside the lightcone. Beside, the difference between the longitudinal velocities is $\zeta^2\frac{a_0}{1+a_0^2\zeta^2}$ that is of second-order.

B. Linear propagator

In this subsection let us obtain the propagator of the linear modified Finslerian Klein-Gordon equation (65). The Green equation for the Eq.(65) is

$$\mathfrak{O}G(x - y) = -\delta^4(x - y), \tag{85}$$

where the linear differential operator $\mathfrak{O}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{O} := \left(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \zeta^2 a^\mu a^\nu\right)\partial_\mu\partial_\nu - m^2 \tag{86}$$

Using the Fourier transform representation

$$G(x-y) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \tilde{G}(k)e^{-ik\cdot(x-y)}d^4k, \tag{87}$$

the Fourier transform of the Green function $\tilde{G}(k)$ has the form

$$\tilde{G}(k) = \frac{1}{k^2-\zeta^2(a\cdot k)^2+m^2}. \tag{88}$$

For $\zeta = 0$, we recover the usual $\tilde{G}(k) = \frac{1}{k^2+m^2} = \frac{1}{-(k_0)^2+||\vec{k}||^2+m^2}$ whose poles lie at $k_0 = \pm\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2+m^2}$. For $\zeta \neq 0$, the poles are given by $k^2-\zeta^2(a\cdot k)^2+m^2 = 0$, which is the modified dispersion relation in Eq.(68). Thus, the poles are shifted by the same expression of the frequency-wave-vector relationship presented in Eq.(70).

For a timelike Randers vector a, the shift in the momentum $k \to \sqrt{(1-\zeta^2)}k$ shows that the poles are the same as those of the Lorentz invariant propagator. For the spacelike and for the lightlike Randers vector a cases the poles are in fact dislocated by the involved expression $k_0(\vec{k}) = \pm\frac{1}{(1+\zeta^2a_0^2)}\left\{\left[||\vec{k}||^2+m^2\right](1+\zeta^2a_0^2)-\zeta^2(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\zeta^2a_0(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})}{(1+\zeta^2a_0^2)}$.

Expanding the Green function (88) in powers of ζ, we find

$$\tilde{G}(k) \;=\; \frac{1}{k^2+m^2}\Big[1+\zeta^2\frac{(a\cdot k)^2}{k^2+m^2}+\mathcal{O}(\zeta^4)\Big]. \tag{89}$$

Therefore, up to second-order in the anisotropic parameter ζ, the Randers geometry yields to the usual $\frac{1}{k^2+m^2}$ propagator and the new anisotropic term $\frac{(a\cdot k)^2}{(k^2+m^2)^2}$. It worthwhile to say that the linear propagator has no pole as $(a\cdot k)$, as the graviton propagator in the Bumblebee model [20].

V. NONLINEAR REGIME

In this section we explore some features of the scalar field up to first-order in the anisotropic parameter ζ which lie in the nonlinear regime of the field Lagrangian.

Due to the complexity of the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, we restrict ourselves to the flat Randers spacetime, **viz.**, $(\eta_{\mu\nu}, a)$ constants. In this case, the free Finslerian Klein-Gordon equation (48) reads

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\Phi+\zeta\frac{1}{\sqrt{-(\partial\Phi)^2}}\Bigg\{&(a\cdot\partial\Phi)\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\Phi + a^\mu\eta^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\mu\partial_\rho\Phi)\partial_\sigma\Phi\\ &+\partial^\mu\Phi a^\rho\partial_\mu\partial_\rho\Phi + \frac{(a\cdot\partial\Phi)\partial^\mu\Phi\eta^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\mu\partial_\rho\Phi)\partial_\sigma\Phi}{(-\partial\Phi)^2}\Bigg\} = m^2\Phi,\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

where $(\partial\Phi)^2 = \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi$.

The nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation (90) can be obtained from the bilinear Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^b_\Phi$ and from the nonquadratic Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_\Phi$ up to first-order expansion in ζ. It is worthwhile to say that, the nonquadratic Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{NQ}_\Phi$ yields to third and fourth order derivative terms in the equation of the motion. These higher derivative terms can provide new effects that can be regarded as Lorentz-violating signatures. Higher derivative terms appear in the *k-essence* models [87, 88], in the Nonminimal Stantdard Model Extension [90], modified gravity theories [91], etc.

Besides the high-order derivatives, the nonquadratic Lagrangian also provides nonlocal term as $1/(-\partial\Phi)^2$. Nonlocal terms in the equation of motion for a scalar field is also present in the Finsler-Bogoslovsky spacetime [80].

The nonlinear character of the first-order terms prevent us to employ the usual linear Fourier analysis in the momenta space for a wave-packet solution. Thus, considering a single plane wave solution of form

$$\Phi(x) := Re(e^{-ik\cdot x}), \tag{91}$$

we obtain the quartic modified dispersion relation

$$k^4 + 4\zeta^2(a\cdot k)^2k^2 + 2m^2k^2 + m^4 = 0. \tag{92}$$

For $\zeta = 0$, the MDR in Eq.(92) reduces to $(k^2+m^2)^2 = 0$ which is nothing but the Lorentz invariant MDR. Taking once again $k = (k_0, \vec{k})$, the modified dispersion relation up to first order in ζ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}(1-4\zeta^2a_0^2)k_0^4 - 8\zeta^2a_0(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})k_0^3 - \Big[2(||\vec{k}||^2+m^2) + 4\zeta^2[(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})^2 - a_0^2||\vec{k}||^2]\Big]k_0^2 \\ - 8\zeta^2a_0||\vec{k}||^2(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})k_0 + \Big[||\vec{k}||^4 + m^4 + 4\zeta^2||\vec{k}||^2(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})^2 + 2m^2||\vec{k}||^2\Big] = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

For a timelike Randers vector $a = (a_0, \vec{0})$, the MDR is given by

$$(1-4a_0^2\zeta^2)k_0^4 - 2\Big[||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2 - 2\zeta^2a_0^2||\vec{k}||^2\Big]k_0^2 + \Big[||\vec{k}||^2+m^2\Big]^2 = 0. \tag{94}$$

For $\zeta a_0 \neq 0.5$, the Eq.(94) is an algebraic four-order equation and there are four complex and distinct frequencies. It turns out that the Eq.(94) has two positive $(k^+_{0;1}, k^+_{0;2})$ and two

negatives $(k^-_{0;1}, k^-_{0;2})$ roots, where

$$k^+_{0;1,2} := \sqrt{\frac{(1-2\zeta^2 a_0^2)||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2 \pm 2\zeta a_0\sqrt{m^4 + \zeta^2 a_0^2||\vec{k}||^4 + m^2||\vec{k}||^2}}{(1-4\zeta^2 a_0^2)}}$$
$$k^-_{0;1,2} := -\sqrt{\frac{(1-2\zeta^2 a_0^2)||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2 \pm 2\zeta\sqrt{m^4 + \zeta^2 a_0^2||\vec{k}||^4 + m^2||\vec{k}||^2}}{(1-4\zeta^2 a_0^2)}}, \tag{95}$$

and $k_{0;1,2}$ stands for the frequency with positive (negative) sign inside the square root. Thus, the nonlinear regime not only modifies the frequencies but also doubles their number. Note that for $\zeta = 0$ the Lorentz invariant relation $k_0(\vec{k}) := \pm\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2}$ is recovered. It is worthwhile to mention that $k^+_{0;1}, k^-_{0;1}$ and $k^+_{0;2}, k^-_{0;2}$ have the same absolute value, respectively. Moreover, $k^+_{0;1} \geq k^+_{0;2}$ and since all the frequencies are real, the solutions have an oscillatory behaviour.

Although the MDR (94) possesses four roots, the two additional frequencies are only relevant for high-energies states. In fact, for $||\vec{k}|| \ll m$, the frequencies can be expanded in powers of $\frac{||\vec{k}||}{m}$ what yields to

$$k_0 = \pm(1+2\zeta^2 a_0^2)\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2}\left\{1 \pm \zeta a_0\left[1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{||\vec{k}||}{m}\right)^2\right]\right\}. \tag{96}$$

The first term $\pm(1+2\zeta^2 a_0^2)\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2}$ in Eq.(96) can be seen as the usual mass-shell where the momentum and mass are shifted by $(\vec{k}, m) \to (1+2\zeta^2 a_0^2)(\vec{k}, m)$. The second term, which introduces the new frequencies, is proportional to $\pm\zeta a_0[1 - ||\vec{k}||^2/m^2]$. Therefore, though $k^+_{0;1} \geq k^+_{0;2}$ the difference $k^+_{0;1} - k^+_{0;2} \approx 2\zeta a_0[1 - ||\vec{k}||^2/m^2]$ which can be disregarded in low-energy regime. On the other hand, assuming that $||\vec{k}|| \gg m$, the frequencies Eq.(95) can be written as

$$k_0 = \pm(1+2\zeta^2 a_0^2)\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2}\left(1 - \pm\zeta^2 a_0^2||\vec{k}||^2\frac{1}{||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2}\right). \tag{97}$$

The new frequencies appear with the factor $\zeta^2 a_0^2||\vec{k}||^2$ and then, they are relevant for $\zeta^2 a_0^2||\vec{k}||^2 \approx 1$. Since $\zeta a_0 < 1$, the second term is relevant only in the UV regime $||\vec{k}|| \to M_P$. The emergence of new frequencies in the UV regime is a well-known effect in theories with Lorentz violation [90, 92, 93]. Regardless the specific value of ζ, the additional frequencies arise in second-order in ζ. Up to first-order in ζ, the Lorentz-invariant frequencies $k_0 = \pm\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2}$ are still valid.



Figure 1: Positive frequencies as function of the 3-momentum $\vec{k}$ for the perturbed second-order Klein-Gordon equation and a timelike Randers vector. For small momenta, the frequencies behave as the Lorentz invariant (thin line). For high momenta, the biggest frequency (dashed line) lies inside the hyperbola whereas the smallest frequency (dotted line) lies outside.

Furthermore, the frequencies $k_{0;1}^{+,-}$ correspond to tachyonic modes for $0.5 < \zeta a_0 < 1$. In fact, in the rest $||\vec{k}|| = 0$, the frequencies satisfy the relation

$$k_0^2 = \frac{(1 \pm 2\zeta a_0)}{(1 + 2\zeta a_0)(1 - 2\zeta a_0)} m^2. \tag{98}$$

Then, taking the positive sign, i.e., $k_{0;1}^{2+,-} = \frac{1}{(1-2\zeta a_0)} m^2$, $k_{0;1}^{2+,-}$ is negative for $0.5 < \zeta a_0 < 1$.

The behaviour of the positive frequencies are sketched in the figure 1, for $\zeta a_0 = 0.1$ and $m = 1$. Note that the overall hyperbolic shape is preserved. For small values of momenta, i.e., in the Infrared regime (IR), both the biggest frequency $k_{0;1}^{+}$ (dashed line) as the smallest $k_{0;2}^{+}$ frequency (dotted line) are rather close to the Lorentz invariant frequency (thin line), as expected from Eq.(96). Nevertheless, in the Ultraviolet regime, i.e., for high momenta, the Lorentz violations effects turn bigger the difference between the frequency $k_{0;1}^{+}$ (dashed line) to the Lorentz-invariant frequency and the smallest $k_{0;2}^{+}$ frequency (dotted line).



Figure 2: Group velocity for the perturbed first-order Klein-Gordon equation and a timelike Randers vector. For small momenta, the group velocity has the same behaviour of the Lorentz invariant one. For high momenta, superluminal velocities are achieved for the biggest frequency (dashed line) and the smallest frequency (dotted line).

The group velocity is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
v^{+}_{g;1,2} &:= \frac{||\vec{k}||}{(1-4\zeta^2 a_0^2)} \frac{\left[1-2\zeta^2 a_0^2 \pm \frac{\zeta a_0 (2\zeta^2 a_0^2 ||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2)}{\sqrt{m^4+\zeta^2 a_0^2 ||\vec{k}||^4 + m^2 ||\vec{k}||^2}}\right]}{\sqrt{\frac{||\vec{k}||^2 - 2\zeta^2 a_0^2 ||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2 + 2\zeta a_0 \sqrt{m^4+\zeta^2 a_0^2 ||\vec{k}||^4 + m^2 ||\vec{k}||^2}}{(1-4\zeta^2 a_0^2)}}} \\
v^{-}_{g;1,2} &:= -\frac{||\vec{k}||}{(1-4\zeta^2 a_0^2)} \frac{\left[1-2\zeta^2 a_0^2 \pm \frac{\zeta a_0 (2\zeta^2 a_0^2 ||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2)}{\sqrt{m^4+\zeta^2 a_0^2 ||\vec{k}||^4 + m^2 ||\vec{k}||^2}}\right]}{\sqrt{\frac{||\vec{k}||^2 - 2\zeta^2 a_0^2 ||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2 - 2\zeta a_0 \sqrt{m^4+\zeta^2 a_0^2 ||\vec{k}||^4 + m^2 ||\vec{k}||^2}}{(1-4\zeta^2 a_0^2)}}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{99}
$$

For $\zeta = 0$ we obtain the Lorentz invariant group velocity $v_g(\vec{k}) := \pm\frac{||\vec{k}||}{\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2+m^2}}$. Note that the frequencies $k^{+}_{0;1}, k^{+}_{0;2}$ have different group velocities $v^{+}_{g;1}, v^{+}_{g;2}$. Due the involved expression of the group velocity, we perform a graphical analysis of the group velocities for the positive frequencies present in the figure 2. Likewise the frequencies, the group velocities $v^{+}_{g;1}$ (dashed line) and $v^{+}_{g;2}$ (dotted line) bear the same Lorentz-invariant behaviour in the IR regime. For high momenta, the group velocity $v^{+}_{g;1}$ related to the biggest frequency $k^{+}_{0;1}$ attains superluminal values whereas $v^{+}_{g;2}$ related to the smallest frequency $k^{+}_{0;1}$ (dotted line) converges to one, as the Lorentz-invariant group velocity (thin line). Therefore, in the UV regime the $k^{+;-}_{0;1}$ modes exhibit causality and stability issues related to their tachyonic character.

The spacelike and lightlike cases, for instance $a = (0,0,0,a_z)$ and $a = (a_0,0,0,a_0)$ respectively, present the odd-power terms, i.e., k_0 and k_0^3 in the modified dispersion relation

Eq.(93). The coefficients of the k_0 and k_0^3 terms depend on the direction by the factor $(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})$. Nonetheless, due to the complexity of the solution of the algebraic equation Eq.(93), we postpone the analysis of the spacelike and lightlike cases, as performed in [92–94], for future works.

Now let us consider the effects of both the linear and nonlinear regimes combined. This is equivalent to expand the equation of motion up to second-order in ζ. The resulting equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\Phi+\zeta\frac{1}{\sqrt{-(\partial\Phi)^2}}\Bigg\{&(a\cdot\partial\Phi)\partial_\mu\partial^\mu\Phi+a^\mu\eta^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\mu\partial_\rho\Phi)\partial_\sigma\Phi\\&+\partial^\mu\Phi a^\rho\partial_\mu\partial_\rho\Phi+\frac{(a\cdot\partial\Phi)\partial^\mu\Phi\eta^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\mu\partial_\rho\Phi)\partial_\sigma\Phi}{(-\partial\Phi)^2}\Bigg\}-\zeta^2(a^\mu a^\nu\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\Phi)=m^2\Phi.\end{aligned}\tag{100}$$

The plane-wave *ansatz* in Eq.(91) satisfying the Eq.(100) has the modified quartic dispersion relation

$$k^4+2\zeta^2k^2(a\cdot k)^2+2m^2k^2-2\zeta^2m^2(a\cdot k)^2+\zeta^4(a\cdot k)^4=0.\tag{101}$$

Thus, the frequencies k_0 satisfy the quartic algebraic equation

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big[1-2\zeta^2a_0^2+\zeta^4a_0^4\Big]k_0^4+4\zeta^2a_0(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})\Big[1-\zeta^2a_0^2\Big]k_0^3-2\Bigg[(||\vec{k}||^2+m^2)+\zeta^2[(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})^2\\&-a_0^2||\vec{k}||^2]-3\zeta^4a_0^2(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})^2\Bigg]k_0^2-4\zeta^2a_0(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})\Big[||\vec{k}||^2-m^2+\zeta^2(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})^2\Big]k_0+\\&\Big[||\vec{k}||^4+m^4+2\zeta^2||\vec{k}||^2(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})^2+2m^2||\vec{k}||^2-2m^2\zeta^2(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})^2+\zeta^4(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{k})^4\Big]=0.\end{aligned}\tag{102}$$

The MDR in Eq.(102) has a similar structure of the fourth-order algebraic equation Eq.(93), where the direction-dependence appears in the odd-power coefficients k_0, k_0^3. However, the coefficient of the leading forth-degree term $1-2\zeta^2a_0^2+\zeta^4a_0^4$ vanishes only for $\zeta a_0=1$ where the perturbative character of the Randers spacetime no longer holds. Therefore, the MDR in Eq.(102) is well-defined in the interval of interest, viz., $0\leq\zeta a_0<1$.

For a timelike Randers vector $a=(a_0,\vec{0})$, we obtain

$$\Big[1-2\zeta^2a_0^2+\zeta^4a_0^4\Big]k_0^4-2\Big[(||\vec{k}||^2+m^2)-\zeta^2a_0^2||\vec{k}||^2\Big]k_0^2+(||\vec{k}||^2+m^2)^2=0.\tag{103}$$



Figure 3: Positive frequencies as function of the 3-momentum $\vec{k}$ the perturbed second-order Klein-Gordon equation and a timelike Randers vector. Both the biggest frequency (dashed line) and the smallest frequency (dotted line) behave as the Lorentz invariant (thin line).

Once again there are two positive $(k^+_{0;1}, k^+_{0;2})$ and two negatives $(k^-_{0;1}, k^-_{0;2})$ roots, where

$$k^+_{0;1,2} := \sqrt{\frac{(1-\zeta^2a_0^2)||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2 \pm \zeta a_0 m\sqrt{2(1-\zeta^2a_0^2)||\vec{k}||^2 + (2-\zeta^2a_0^2)m^2}}{(1-2\zeta^2a_0^2+\zeta^4a_0^4)}}$$
$$k^-_{0;1,2} := -\sqrt{\frac{(1-\zeta^2a_0^2)||\vec{k}||^2 + m^2 \pm \zeta a_0 m\sqrt{2(1-\zeta^2a_0^2)||\vec{k}||^2 + (2-\zeta^2a_0^2)m^2}}{(1-2\zeta^2a_0^2+\zeta^4a_0^4)}}. \quad (104)$$

For $||\vec{k}|| = 0$, the frequencies in Eq.(104) satisfy

$$k_0^2 = \frac{(1 \pm \zeta\sqrt{2-\zeta^2a_0^2})}{(1-\zeta^2a_0^2)^2}m^2. \quad (105)$$

In the interval $0 \leq \zeta a_0 < 1$, the squared frequencies k_0^2 in Eq.(105) are all positive. The absence of tachyonic modes provides stability to the states of the scalar field, which means that no exponential decreasing or increasing factor due to the imaginary part appears in the plane-wave. Further, the Lorentz violating linear term in the perturbed equation of motion Eq.(100) modifies the frequencies but does not get rid of the additional frequencies.

For $||\vec{k}|| \ll m$, the frequencies behave as

$$k_{0;1,2} = (1+\zeta^2a_0^2)\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2+m^2}\left\{1 \pm \zeta a_0\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left[1-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{||\vec{k}||}{m}\right)^2\right]\right\}, \quad (106)$$

and then, the difference $k_{0;1} - k_{0;2} \approx \zeta a_0\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$. In the UV regime, i.e., for $||\vec{k}|| \gg m$ we can



Figure 4: Group velocity for the perturbed second-order Klein-Gordon equation and a timelike Randers vector. The group velocities for the biggest frequency (dashed line) and the smallest frequency (dotted line) have the same behaviour of the Lorentz invariant one.

expand the frequencies as

$$k_{0;1,2} = (1+\zeta^2 a_0^2)\sqrt{||\vec{k}||^2+m^2}\left\{1 \pm \zeta a_0 \frac{m}{||\vec{k}||}\left[1-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{m}{||\vec{k}||}\right)^2\right]\right\}. \tag{107}$$

Since both $\zeta a_0, \frac{m}{||\vec{k}||} \to 0$, then the difference $k_{0;1} - k_{0;2} \approx \zeta \frac{m}{||\vec{k}||}$. Therefore, besides avoid tachyonic modes, the inclusion of the linear ζ^2 term also diminishes the difference between the frequencies both in the IR as in the UV regimes.

The group velocity has the form

$$\begin{aligned} v^{+}_{g;1,2} &:= \frac{(1-\zeta^2 a_0^2)||\vec{k}|| \pm \frac{\zeta m(1-\zeta^2 a_0^2)||\vec{k}||}{\sqrt{2(1-\zeta^2 a_0^2)||\vec{k}||^2+(2-\zeta^2 a_0^2)m^2}}}{\sqrt{(1-2\zeta^2 a_0^2+\zeta^4 a_0^4)||\vec{k}||^2+m^2 \pm \zeta a_0 m\sqrt{2(1-\zeta^2 a_0^2)||\vec{k}||^2+(2-\zeta^2 a_0^2)m^2}}} \\ v^{-}_{g;1,2} &:= -\frac{\left[(1-\zeta^2 a_0^2)||\vec{k}|| \pm \frac{\zeta a_0 m(1-\zeta^2 a_0^2)||\vec{k}||}{\sqrt{2(1-\zeta^2 a_0^2)||\vec{k}||^2+(2-\zeta^2 a_0^2)m^2}}\right]}{\sqrt{(1-2\zeta^2 a_0^2+\zeta^4 a_0^4)||\vec{k}||^2+m^2 \pm \zeta a_0 m\sqrt{2(1-\zeta^2 a_0^2)||\vec{k}||^2+(2-\zeta^2 a_0^2)m^2}}} \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

We plotted the positive frequencies $k^{+}_{0;1,2}$ and their group velocities $v^{+}_{g;1,2}$ in Fig.3 and Fig.4, respectively. As before, we set $m = 1$ and $\zeta a_0 = 0.1$. A noteworthy feature is that the group velocities are less than one for all the frequencies, even for large momenta. Therefore, the inclusion of both the nonlinear terms arising from the first-order expansion in ζ and the linear terms from the second-order expansion, prevent the UV issues of superluminal signals.

VI. FINAL REMARKS, CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

In this work, we proposed a dynamics for a scalar field intrinsically defined on the local Lorentz-violating Finsler-Randers spacetime.

Likewise the particle dynamics in Finsler spacetimes, we proposed an action functional defined through a minimal coupling between the scalar field and the Finsler-Randers metric. We also assume that the anisotropy deforms the volume, and we employed the Busemann-Hausdorff definition of Finslerian volume, which is intrinsically defined on the spacetime. As a result, the Finslerian action proposed exhibits a nonlinear dynamics characterized by a non-canonical kinetic term and a change in the potential due to the volume anisotropy. The Finslerian kinetic term provides nonquadratic modified kinetic term, in the lines of the *k-essence* models, and the coupling terms of the field with the background Randers vector, as well.

In flat Finsler spacetimes, it is possible to define a momentum canonically conjugated to the scalar field and its Hamiltonian. The nonlinear dynamics provides a nonlinear relation between the momentum canonically conjugated and the time derivative, which resembles the nonlinear relation between the canonical momentum and the quadri-velocity associated with the particle. The Finslerian Hamiltonian is, in general, nonquadratic and not necessarily positive definite. A condition to assure the positivity of the field Hamiltonian is that the dual Finsler function applied on the differential of the field has a negative time component.

The nonlinear action provides a nonlinear D'Alembertian equation intrinsically defined on the spacetime. The nonlinear Finslerian D'Alembertian operator is an extension to Finsler spacetimes of the Shen Laplacian defined on Riemann-Finsler geometry. The Finslerian equation of motion possesses the same terms of the on-shell quantization plus an additional term due to the derivatives of the dual Finslerian metric. The field in the eikonal approximation has its wave-vector satisfying the Finslerian modified dispersion relation at leading order.

For the Randers spacetime, we can split the Lagrangian into two sectors. The first bilinear Lagrangian sector, which appears with a power of even order in Lorentz-violating parameter ζ, is composed of the usual Lorentz-invariant quadratic terms and the quadratic coupling of the scalar field and the background vector field. The bilinear Lagrangian sector resembles the Lagrangian of the Standard Model Extension (SME). The second sector, which appears with

a power of odd order in anisotropic parameter ζ, is composed by a nonquadratic Lagrangian constructed from the product of the field derivatives and the background Randers vector and the square root of a Lorentz-violating quadratic Lagrangian. The nonquadratic Lagrangian can be regarded as a non-canonical kinetic term extension of the SME Lagrangian.

In the flat Randers spacetime, it is possible to define a momentum canonically conjugated to the scalar field that can be split into a linear and nonlinear parts. The linear momentum canonically conjugated has a similar form of the canonical particle momentum, i.e., the sum of the Lorentzian momentum and a term due to the Randers covector. The nonlinear momentum canonically conjugated, in its turn, has an involved quadratic dependence with the field derivatives.

The Finslerian Hamiltonian can also be separated into a quadratic and nonquadratic parts. Unlike the particle Hamiltonian, the field Hamiltonian in Randers spacetime will depend on the kind of Randers covector. The quadratic Hamiltonian is positive-definite for a Randers timelike covector, and it has a negative term proportional to the scalar product $(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\Phi)$. Then, for a spacelike or lightlike Randers covector, the positivity of the Hamiltonian will depend on the relation between a_0 and $\vec{a}$ and on the field configuration $(\dot{\Phi}, \nabla\Phi)$. Besides the anisotropic term $(\vec{a}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\Phi)$, the nonquadratic Hamiltonian also has high-order derivatives powers. It turns out that for a timelike Randers covector and regardless the high-order terms, the nonquadratic Hamiltonian is positive-definite. It is worthwhile to say that the instabilities driven by the negative Hamiltonian terms would only appear for high energy states $(\dot{\Phi}, \nabla\Phi)$ because the negative terms are proportional to ζ^2 and ζ for the quadratic and nonquadratic Hamiltonians, respectively. Moreover, the negative terms in the Hamiltonian are the result of the linear terms in the dual Randers metric. Therefore, we argue that in the b-spacetime, bipartite spacetime or even in the Bogoslovsky spacetime for some values of the anisotropic parameter, these instabilities might be avoided.

The Finslerian Klein-Gordon equation can also be divided into a linear equation in a power of ζ^2 and a nonlinear equation in powers of ζ. The linear Finslerian Klein-Gordon equation shares a resemblance with the equation of the Standard Model Extension (SME). In flat Randers spacetime, we carried out an analysis of the modified dispersion, which presents no causality nor instabilities. The linear propagator has an anisotropic term that is similar to one found in SME.

By accomplishing a first-order perturbation upon the Finsler Klein-Gordon equation,

we obtained a rather involved nonlinear equation. The equation contains both high-order derivatives and nonlocal terms. For a plane-wave solution, the modified dispersion relation yielded to a forth-order polynomial equation relating the frequencies and wavevectors. For a Randers timelike vector, among the four possible frequencies, two are positive and two negatives. Though the four frequencies are well-behaved in the low momentum regime, for high momentum the additional frequencies turn to be complex, what leave them unstable states. Therefore, the additional frequencies are related to tachyonic states, which can also be seen by their superluminal group velocity.

The tachyonic modes appearing in the leading order of the perturbed equation of motion can be avoided by considering the perturbed Klein-Gordon equation until second-order in ζ. The inclusion of the second-order term takes into account the terms from both the bilinear and nonquadratic Lagrangians combined. Regardless of the modified dispersion relation was still of fourth-order in the momentum, the frequencies are all real, and their group velocity are less than one in the whole range of the Randers parameter and momentum. Further, the difference between the frequencies is reduced what turn them almost indistinguishable even for high momentum regimes. The complete analysis of the spectrum stability requires nonperturbative methods which is one of our perspectives, including the study of the stability for the spacelike and lighlike Randers vectors. The nonlinear and nonlocal terms in the Klein-Gordon equation, generated from the non-canonical kinetic term, can yield to soliton solutions, like those studied in the generalized topological defects.

Another important perspective is the quantization of this classical nonlinear theory. Despite the nonlinear relation between momentum canonically conjugate and the time derivative, the bracket equation found suggests that it is possible to extend them to commutator relations. For the construction of the wave-packet, it might be necessary to employ fractional (or nonlocal) Fourier transform.

A key extension of this work is the inclusion of gauge and fermion fields. We showed that the Lagrangian can be extended to a complex scalar field endowed with global gauge symmetry. We left as perspectives the analysis of a local gauge symmetry and the possible modified kinetic terms for the gauge and fermions fields. Another possibility is to define the Lagrangian from the minimal coupling of the fields with a metric obtained from the Finsler metric integrated out on the direction variable y. This approach was proposed by Bao-Lackey [95] and by Bartholme [96] in Riemann-Finsler geometry and provides a linear

dynamics for the fields.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Brazilian agencies Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) (grant no. 99999.006822/2014-02) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (grant number 305766/2012-0). J.E.G. Silva acknowledges the Indiana University Center for Spacetime Symmetries (IUCSS) for the kind hospitality.

---

[1] V. A. Kostelecký and S. Samuel, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 683 (1989); Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 224 (1989); Phys. Rev. D **40**, 1886 (1989). Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1811 (1991);

[2] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **268**, 253 (1986); N. Seiberg and E.Witten, J. High Energy Phys. **9909**, 032 (1999); S. M. Carroll, J. A. Harvey, V. A. Kostelecký, C. D. Lane, and T. Okamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 141601 (2001).

[3] P. Hořava, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084008 (2009); G. Calcagni, JHEP **0909**, 112 (2009); M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 025011 (2009).

[4] J. Alfaro, H. A. Morales-Tecotl and L. F. Urrutia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2318 (2000). Phys. Rev. D **65**, 103509 (2002).

[5] V. A. Kostelecký and S. Samuel, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 1289 (1990); V. A. Kostelecký and R. Potting, Nucl. Phys. B **359**, 545 (1991); Phys. Lett. B **381**, 89 (1996); Phys. Rev. D **63**, 046007 (2001); V. A. Kostelecký, M. J. Perry, and R. Potting, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4541 (2000).

[6] N. Arkani-Hamed, H. C. Cheng, M. A. Luty and S. Mukohyama, JHEP **0405**, 074 (2004). S. Mukohyama, JCAP **0610**, 011 (2006).

[7] D. Colladay and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 6760 (1997).

[8] D. Colladay and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 116002 (1998).

[9] R. Jackiw and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3572 (1999); V. A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 251304 (2001). V. A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 015020 (2009).

[10] D. Colladay and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Lett. B **511**, 209 (2001); O. G. Kharlanov and V. Ch. Zhukovsky, J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.) **48**, 092302 (2007); R. Lehnert, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 085003 (2003). V. A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 9, 096006 (2013).

[11] V. A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 016005 (2004). Phys. Rev. D **70**, 031902 (2004).

[12] R. Bluhm, V. A. Kostelecký and C. D. Lane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1098 (2000). A. H. Gomes, A. Kosteleck and A. J. Vargas, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 7, 076009 (2014).

[13] V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1818 (1998).

[14] R. Bluhm, V. A. Kostelecký and N. Russell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2254 (1999). V. A. Kosteleck and A. J. Vargas, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 5, 056002 (2015).

[15] V. A. Kostelecký and N. Russell, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 11 (2011).

[16] V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 105009 (2004).

[17] R. Bluhm and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 065008 (2005). B. Altschul and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Lett. B **628**, 106 (2005). R. Bluhm, S-H. Fung, and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 065020 (2008). B. Altschul, Q. G. Bailey and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 065028 (2010).

[18] R. Bluhm, N. L. Gagne, R. Potting and A. Vrublevskis, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 125007 (2008); Erratum: Phys. Rev. D **79**, 029902(E) (2009). M. D. Seifert, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 065010 (2010). C. A. Hernaski, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 124036 (2014). R. V. Maluf, J. E. G. Silva and C. A. S. Almeida, Phys. Lett. B **749**, 304 (2015).

[19] V. A. Kostelecký and R. Potting, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 065018 (2009).

[20] Q. G. Bailey and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 045001 (2006).

[21] R. Tso and Q. G. Bailey, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 085025 (2011) Q. G. Bailey, V. A. Kostelecký and R. Xu, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 2, 022006 (2015). V. A. Kostelecký and J. D. Tasson, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 016013 (2011). V. A. Kostelecký, N. Russell and J. Tasson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 111102 (2008). V. A. Kostelecký and J. Tasson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 010402 (2009). B. Altschul *et al.*, Adv. Space Res. **55**, 501 (2015).

[22] R. V. Maluf, C. A. S. Almeida, R. Casana and M. M. Ferreira, Jr., Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 2, 025007 (2014).

[23] J. C. Long and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 9, 092003 (2015).

[24] V. A. Kostelecký and J. D. Tasson, Phys. Lett. B **749**, 551 (2015).

[25] V. A. Kostelecký and N. Russell, Phys. Lett. B **693**, 443 (2010).

[26] V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Lett. B **701**, 137 (2011).

[27] V. A. Kostelecký, N. Russell and R. Tso, Phys. Lett. B **716**, 470 (2012).

[28] D. Colladay and P. McDonald, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 044042 (2012).

[29] J. E. G. Silva and C. A. S. Almeida, Phys. Lett. B **731**, 74 (2014).

[30] N. Russell, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 4, 045008 (2015).

[31] M. Schreck, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 10, 105001 (2015).

[32] M. Schreck, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, no. 5, 187 (2015).

[33] M. Schreck, arXiv:1508.00216 [hep-ph].

[34] J. Foster and R. Lehnert, Phys. Lett. B **746**, 164 (2015).

[35] D. Colladay and P. McDonald, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 4, 045008 (2015).

[36] P. Finsler, ber Kurven und Flchen in allgemeinen Rumen, dissertation, University of Gttingen, 1918, Verlag Birkhuser, Basel, Switzerland, 1951.

[37] E. Cartan, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris **196** 582 (1933).

[38] D. Bao, S. Chern, Z. Shen, An introduction to Riemann-Finsler geometry, Springer, 1991.

[39] Z. Shen, Lectures on Finsler geometry, World Scientific, 2001.

[40] H. Rund, The differential geometry of Finsler spaces, Springer (1959).

[41] M Matsumoto, Foundations of Finsler geometry and special Finsler spaces, Kaiseisha Press (1986).

[42] A. Joets and R. Ribotta, Opt. Commun., **107**, (1994). R.S. Ingarden and L. Tamssy, Mathl. Comput. Modelling Vol. 20, 93, 1994. C. Duval, Commun. Math. Phys. **283**, 701 (2008).G. W. Gibbons, C. A. R. Herdeiro, C. M. Warnick and M. C. Werner, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 044022 (2009).

[43] M. Milgrom, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 084005 (2006).

[44] T. Yajima, H. Nagahama Proc. R. Soc. A (2009) 465, 17631777.

[45] D. Bao, C. Robles and Z. Shen, J. Diff. Geom., **66**, 377 (2004). G. W. Gibbons and C. M. Warnick, Contemp. Phys. **52**, 197 (2011).

[46] H. Koibuchi, H. Sekino, Physica A **393** 37 (2014).

[47] M. Cvetic and G. W. Gibbons, Annals Phys. **327**, 2617 (2012).

[48] J. Skakala and M. Visser, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **189**, 012037 (2009). J. Skakala and M. Visser, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **19**, 1119 (2010).

[49] J. Skakala and M. Visser, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 065007 (2011). E. Caponio, M. Javaloyes, M. Sanchez, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **27**, 919 (2011).

[50] P.L. Antonelli, R.S. Ingarden, M. Matsumoto, The Theory of Sprays and Finsler Spaces with Applications in Physics and Biology, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1993.

[51] G. Randers, Phys. Rev. **59**, 195 (1941).

[52] T. G. Pavlopoulos, Phys. Rev. **159**, 5 (1967).

[53] Y. Takano, Prog. Theor. Phys. **40**, 1159 (1968). H. Ishikawa, Nuo.Cim. **56** (1980). Journ. Math. Phys. **22**, 995 (1981).

[54] G. S. Asanov, Finsler Geometry, Relativity And Gauge Theories, Dordrecht, Reidel (1985).

[55] G. S. Asanov, Rept. Math. Phys. **13**, 13 (1978). Rept. Math. Phys. **14**, 237 (1978). Found. Phys. **13**, 501 (1983). R. G. Beil, Found. Phys. **33**, 1107 (2003). H. E. Brandt, Found. Phys. Lett. **5**, 221 (1992). Found. Phys. Lett. **13**, no. 4, 307 (2000).

[56] Rutz, S.F.: Gen. Rel. Grav. 25, 1139 (1993).

[57] R. Miron, D. Hrimiuc, H. Shimada, S. Sabau, The geometry of Hamilton and Lagrange spaces, (Kluwer Academic Publs., Dordrecht, 2001).

[58] P. C. Stavrinos, A. P. Kouretsis and M. Stathakopoulos, Gen. Rel. Grav. **40**, 1403 (2008). P. Stavrinos, Gen. Rel. Grav. **44**, 3029 (2012). S. Basilakos, A. P. Kouretsis, E. N. Saridakis and P. Stavrinos, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 123510 (2013). S. Basilakos and P. Stavrinos, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 4, 043506 (2013).

[59] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time, Cambridge University Press (1973).

[60] T. Padmanabhan, Gravitation, foundations and frontiers, Cambridge University Press (2010).

[61] J. K. Beem, Canad. Jour. Math. **22**, 1035 (1970). Indiana Univ. Math. J. 21 No. 6 , 551 (1972). Geom. Ded. , **4**, 1, 45 (1975). Pacific J. Math. 64, 67 (1976). J. K. Beem, M. Kishta, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 23 No. 9 , 845 (1974).

[62] A. Bejancu and H. Farran, Geometry of pseudo-Finsler submanifolds. Kluwer Acadamic Publishers (2000).

[63] F. Girelli, S. Liberati and L. Sindoni, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 064015 (2007).

[64] L. Sindoni, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 124009 (2008).

[65] G. Amelino-Camelia, L. Barcaroli, G. Gubitosi, S. Liberati and N. Loret, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 12, 125030 (2014).

[66] D. Raetzel, S. Rivera and F. P. Schuller, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 044047.

[67] V. Perlick, Gen. Rel. Grav. **38**, 365 (2006).

[68] C. Lämmerzahl, V. Perlick and W. Hasse, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 104042 (2012). Y. Itin, C. Lämmerzahl and V. Perlick, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 12, 124057 (2014).

[69] C. Lämmerzahl, D. Lorek and H. Dittus, Gen. Rel. Grav. **41**, 1345 (2009).

[70] M. Javaloyes, M. Sánchez, Int. Journ. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.Vol. 11, No. 9 (2014).

[71] E. Minguzzi, Commun. Math. Phys. **334**, no. 3, 1529 (2015).Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, no. 18, 185008 (2015). R. G. Torrome, P. Piccione and H. Vitorio, J. Math. Phys. **53**, 123511 (2012). E. Barletta and S. Dragomir, Found Phys **42** (2012).

[72] C. Pfeifer and M. N. R. Wohlfarth, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 044039 (2011). C. Pfeifer and M. N. R. Wohlfarth, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 064009 (2012). C. Pfeifer and M. N. R. Wohlfarth, Phys. Lett. B **712**, 284 (2012).C. Pfeifer, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 6, 064052 (2014).

[73] M. Hohmann, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 12, 124034 (2013).

[74] S. I. Vacaru, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 215001 (2011). JHEP **9809**, 011 (1998). Nucl.Phys. B, **494**, 590 (1997). Annals Phys.,**256**, 39 (1997). Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **5**, 473 (2008). Gen. Rel. Grav. **44**, 1015 (2012). J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **314**, 012069 (2011). P. C. Stavrinos and S. I. Vacaru, Class. Quant. Grav. **30**, 055012 (2013). P. Stavrinos, O. Vacaru and S. I. Vacaru, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **23**, no. 11, 1450094 (2014).

[75] Z. Chang and X. Li, Phys. Lett. B **668**, 453 (2008). Z. Chang and X. Li, Phys. Lett. B **676**, 173 (2009). X. Li and Z. Chang, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 6, 064049 (2014). X. Li, S. Wang and Z. Chang, Eur. Phys. J. C **75**, no. 6, 260 (2015).

[76] Z. Chang and S. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 2165 (2012).

[77] X. Li and Z. Chang, Phys. Lett. B **692**, 1 (2010). Z. Chang, X. Li, H. N. Lin and S. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, no. 99, 2821 (2014). Z. Chang, M. H. Li, X. Li, H. N. Lin and S. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2550 (2013). Z. Chang, M. H. Li, X. Li, H. N. Lin and S. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2447 (2013). Z. Chang and S. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2516 (2013). Z. Chang and S. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, no. 2, 2337 (2013).

[78] Z. Chang and X. Li, Phys. Lett. B **663**, 103 (2008).

[79] G. Y. Bogoslovsky and H. F. Goenner, Gen. Rel. Grav. **31**, 1565 (1999). G. Y. Bogoslovsky and H. F. Goenner, Phys. Lett. A **323**, 40 (2004).

[80] G. W. Gibbons, J. Gomis and C. N. Pope, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 081701 (2007).

[81] Z. Shen, The non-linear Laplacian for Finsler manifolds, The theory of Finslerian Laplacians and applications, Springer, (1998).

[82] D. Bao, S. Chern, Ann. of Math., **143**, 233 (1996).

[83] J. Alvarez Paiva and A. Thompson, Volumes on Normed and Finsler Spaces, Riemann Finsler Geometry MSRI Publications Volume 50, 2004.

[84] N. Voicu, arXiv:1507.00847 [math.DG].

[85] R. Holmes and A. Thompson Pac. Jour. Math., **85**, 1 (1979).

[86] H. Busemann, Ann. of Math., **48**, 234 (1947). Comment. Math. Helvet. **24**, 156 (1950).

[87] C. Armendariz-Picon, T. Damour and V. F. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 209 (1999). C. Armendariz-Picon, V. F. Mukhanov and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4438 (2000). C. Bonvin, C. Caprini and R. Durrer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 081303 (2006). R. J. Scherrer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 011301 (2004) E. Babichev, V. Mukhanov and A. Vikman, JHEP **0802**, 101 (2008). S. Renaux-Petel and G. Tasinato, JCAP **0901**, 012 (2009).

[88] E. Babichev, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 065021. D. Bazeia, L. Losano and R. Menezes, Phys. Lett. B **668**, 246 (2008). D. Bazeia, E. da Hora, C. dos Santos and R. Menezes, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 125014 (2010).

[89] N. E. Mavromatos, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 025018 (2011).

[90] V. A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, V. A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 015020 (2009). Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 9, 096006 (2013).

[91] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Living Rev. Rel. **13**, 3 (2010). B. Chen and Q. G. Huang, Phys. Lett. B **683**, 108 (2010). C. Hernaski and H. Belich, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 10, 104027 (2014).

[92] V. A. Kostelecký and R. Lehnert, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 065008 (2001).

[93] C. Lämmerzahl and F. W. Hehl, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 105022 (2004).

[94] S. M. Carroll, T. R. Dulaney, M. I. Gresham and H. Tam, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 065011 (2009)

[95] D. Bao, B. Lackey, A geometric inequality and a Weitzenböck formula for Finsler surfaces, The theory of Finslerian Laplacians and applications, Springer, (1998).

[96] T. Bartholme, Isr. Jour. of Math., **196**, 375 (2013).